## **INDEX**

PLANNI	UCTION  NG AND LEGAL CONTEXT FOR IDP REVIEW	1
	NG AND LEGAL CONTEXT FOR IDP REVIEW	
		5
<ul><li>2.2. Pro</li><li>2.3. Foo</li><li>2.4. Spo</li><li>2.5. A F</li></ul>	cess overview: steps and activities cus of 2005/06 Review Process ecific Issues that need attention in IDP Platform for Alignment and Co-operative Government —	5 5 7 9
THE CU	RRENT REALITY	11
<ul><li>3.2. Ins</li><li>3.3. Ecc</li><li>3.4. Soc</li><li>3.5. Span</li></ul>	titutional analysis – municipality onomic analysis cial analysis atial analysis	12 14 14 20 25 25
VISION	AND PRIORITIES	28
4.2. Key 4.3. Sw	y Development Priorities in Context artland Overall Objectives	66 68 70 70
PROJEC	CTS	71
5.1. Inv	olvement of all Role-players in Projects	71
endum B endum C endum D	Prioriteite binne Wyskverband  Needs Analysis  Draft: Operational Performance Management Framework  Process Plan 04/05 (for 05/06)  Profiles: - Youth  - Agricultural	72 82 108 138 148 178 205
	2.2. Pro 2.3. Foo 2.4. Spe 2.5. A P Mai  THE CUI  3.1. Cur 3.2. Inst 3.2. Inst 3.3. Ecc 3.4. Soo 3.5. Spe 3.6. Env  VISION  4.1. Swe 4.2. Key 4.3. Swe 4.4. Sta  PROJEC  5.1. Invo  ndum A:  ndum A:  ndum C:  ndu	2.2. Process overview: steps and activities 2.3. Focus of 2005/06 Review Process 2.4. Specific Issues that need attention in IDP 2.5. A Platform for Alignment and Co-operative Government – Making IDP more strategic  THE CURRENT REALITY 3.1. Current reality: facts 3.2. Institutional analysis – municipality 3.3. Economic analysis 3.4. Social analysis 3.5. Spatial analysis 3.6. Environmental analysis VISION AND PRIORITIES  4.1. Swartland Vision 4.2. Key Development Priorities in Context 4.3. Swartland Overall Objectives 4.4. Status of Integrated Development Plan  PROJECTS  5.1. Involvement of all Role-players in Projects  ndum A: Hersiening van GOP 2005/2006: