SOCIALSERVICESANDPOVERTY ALLEVIATION

Introduction

The Department of Social Services and Poverty Alleviation's function within the Western Cape province is to provide a social safety net for the poor, the vulnerable and those with special needs in a developmental manner. By performing this function it intends to make residents of the Province systematicallymoreself -reliant, whilst also ensuring the payment of social grants to those who are eligible interms of current legislation.

ExpenditureTrends1997/98to2004/05

The Department's expenditure for the period 1997/98 to 2001/02 increased in nominal terms at an annual average rate of 1,83%. The budget increases to R2,7billionin2002/03,arealincreaseof6,83%comparedwith2001/02. Forthe period2001/02to2004/05thebudgetisprojectedtogrowatanannualaverage nominalrateof9,72%fromapproximatelyR2,38billiontoR3,19billion.

Table1: Expenditure1997/98 -2004/05

PROGRAMME			Actual			Voted	m-term nate	
PROGRAMIME	1997/98 R'000	1998/99 R'000	1999/00 R'000	2000/01 R'000	2001/02 R'000	2002/03 R'000	2003/04 R'000	2004/05 R'000
Administration	26 854	29 666	41 308	30 688	22 748	24 496	25 597	27 283
Researchand Development	9 796	5 849	9 548	1 951	2 014	4 375	4 893	5 252
Developmental SocialService Delivery	276 651	265 949	226 670	257 814	248 133	245 271	249 009	249 784
SocialSecurity	1 898 405	1 787 693	1 825 463	1 810 241	1 955 698	2 287 639	2 502 525	2 717 416
Customer Services	0	102 951	111 431	100 785	149 336	160 434	173 045	186 867
Total	2 211 706	2 192 108	2 214 420	2 201 479	2 377 929	2 722 215	2 955 069	3 186 602
	1997/98	1998/99	1999/00	2000/01	2001/02	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05
NominalGrowth %		-0,89	1,02	-0,58	8,02	14,47	8,55	7,84
RealGrowth%		-7,11	-4,61	-7,17	1,33	6,83	2,6	2,99

Because of the phasing out of the State Maintenance Grant and the re registration project from 1998/99 to 2000/01, there was a real decrease in the

TheWhitePaperontheDevelopmentofSocialWelfaresetsoutthe80:20policy, which is the desired proportion of social security to developmental social welfare spending for a Social Services department. Given strong real growth in the Social Security programme and a relatively flat growth trend for the Developmental Social Service Delivery programme, this ratio proves difficult to obtain. As more pressure is placed on providing grants to the eligible, more money will be allocated to Social Security to provide grant funding, thereby skewingthisratio(84:16in2002/03) evenfurther.

Programme3:DevelopmentSocialServiceDelivery

The purpose of the Developmental Social Service Delivery programme is to providefund ingtogovernmentsectorprogrammes and projects as well as private sector programmes and projects. This programme also drives service delivery innovation and transformation, underpinned by an appropriate legal and policy framework. This is supported by training and capacity building for quality, impact and compliance. Developmental Social Service Delivery forms an integral part of the Department of Social Services as it places the emphasis on making people self-reliant and not stated ependant for an income.

Table2:DevelopmentSocialServiceDeliveryExpenditure

		Actual		Voted	Medium-ter	Medium-termestimate	
SUB-PROGRAMME	1999/00 R'000	2000/01 R'000	2001/02 R'000	2002/03 R'000	2003/04 R'000	2004/05 R'000	
Policy	1 079	702	1 262	2 071	2 071	2 197	
ProgrammeD evelopment	7 377	14 934	3 279	4 179	4 179	4 488	
ProgrammeFunding	21 8214	242 178	243 592	238 327	241 725	242 023	
ProgrammeAssessment	0	0	0	694	1 034	1 076	
TOTAL	226 670	257 814	248 133	245 271	249 009	249 784	
PercentageChange		From 1999/00to 2000/01	From 2000/01to 2001/02	From 2001/02to 2002/03	From 2002/03to 2003/04	From 2003/04to 2004/05	
Policy		13,74	79,77	64,10	0,00	6,08	
ProgrammeDevelopment		102,44	-78,04	27,45	0,00	7,39	
ProgrammeFunding		10,98	0,58	-2,16	1,43	0,12	
ProgrammeAssessme nt			-		48,99	4,06	

Table 2 shows expenditure for the Developmental Social Services Delivery programme. This programme accounts for more than 13% of the department's budget and has declined in real terms as a result of restructuring and the stagnation in subsidies. Funds to welfare organisations were not being distributed equitably and as a result the National Department of Social Development devised a welfare financing policy to distribute resources equitably

across welfare organisations. This policy i showever incomplete as costing models and mechanisms are still to be developed. In the mean time the Western Capestarted with a process of directing existing subsidies to the most vulnerable communities—a process that will be phased in overa couple of years.

During the 2001/02 roll -over process, money was made available to reduce the impact on beneficiaries of the phasing out of the old State Maintenance Grant. Forthecurrentfinancialyear areal decrease is evident. From current projections for the MTEF it appears that this trend is set to continue.

It would thus appear that the 80:20 split between Social Security and Developmental Social Welfare, which was alluded to earlier, is becoming more unattainable. The importance of Developmental Social Welfare Delivery in our current society should not be underestimated as it can also be used as a tool to combat poverty. Because of the overbearing burden that the Social Security grantsplace on the department's budget it appears that there is a "crowding out" effect on this programme.

Programme4:SocialSecurity

The objective of the Social Security programme is to provide for the payout of various grants to qualifying individuals. Among other grants that are paid under this programme are the Child Support Grant (R130 per month), Care Dependency Grant (R620 permonth), and the Disability Grant (R620 permonth). Grant values presented here are those as at August 2002.

Social Security is strongly driven by legislation and has been identified a satool that can be used to combat poverty within the Province. By increasing the take up rate of eligible persons, many households could potentially be lifted out of abject poverty.

Table3:SocialSecurityExpenditure

		Actual		Voted	Medium-ter	mestimate
SUB-PROGRAMME	1999/00	2000/01	2001/02	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05
	R'000	R'000	R'000	R'000	R'000	R'000
Administration	49 560	56 626	53 437	73 398	80 598	87 789
ChildandFamilyCare	299 672	205 702	228 952	349 189	405 573	456 129
CareoftheAged	894 325	938 420	986 253	1 138 880	1 222 020	1 305 541
CareoftheDisabled	578 764	607 148	685 317	722 308	790 470	864 093
ReliefofDistress	3 142	2 345	1 739	3 864	3 864	3 864
TOTAL	1 825 463	1 810 241	1 955 698	2 287 639	2 502 525	2 717 416
Percentage Change		From 1999/00to 2000/01	From 2000/01to 2001/02	From 2001/02to 2002/03	From 2002/03to 2003/04	From 2003/04to 2004/05
Administration		14,26	-5,63	37,35	9,81	8,92
ChildandFamilyCare		-31,36	11,30	52,52	16,15	12,47
CareoftheAged		4,93	5,10	15,48	7,30	6,83
CareoftheDisabled		4,90	12,87	5,40	9,44	9,31
ReliefofDistress		-25,37	-25,84	122,20	0,00	0,00
TotalforProgramme		-0,83	8,04	16,97	9,39	8,59

Table 3 illustrates the expenditure trendfor the Social Security programme up to 2001/02. From 1997/98 to 2001/02 there was an average annual nominal increase in expenditure of one percent. The rewas a decrease in expenditure for 2000/01. This is attributed to the phasing out of the old State Maintenance Grant and the introduction of the Child Support Grant. From 2001/02 to 2004/05 there is a nominal increase of 11% in budgeted expenditure, again showing the importance of this programme as a tool to fight poverty (alleviation). This now pales into in significance given current expenditure retrends and future projections.

ChildSupportGrant

It was decided by the National Cabinet in 1997 to phase out the State Maintenance Grant (SMG) over a three -year period starting in April 1998 and terminating at the end of March 2001, and to replac e it with the Child Support Grant(CSG). The SMG was reduced on an annual basis by R100 from R300 per child to zero in April 2001. The aforementioned policy decisionals o explains the decrease in social security expenditure as the CSG was introduced at R 100 and increased to R130 by April 2002.

Furthermore, because of the fact that the SMG was more beneficial to the applicant, the initial take -up rate for the CSG was very slow. A vigorous marketingcampaigntocreateawarenessofthenewCSGhas,howe ver,seenan unremitting increase in expenditure from 2001/02 to 2002/03 and an unabated increase in the take -uprate from April 2000 to the present. Regulatory changes with effect from 1 December 2001 amended the threshold income to qualify for a grantt othat of the income of the primary care -giver (if not married) as opposed to household income, increasing granttake -up further.

Table 4 illustrates the number of children that benefit from the CSG and beneficiarygrowthtrends.

Table4:CSGGrowthT rends

Month	Year	Children	Targets	(Over)/Under
April	2000	9,365	27,900	18,535
April	2001	52,274	51,750	(524)
April	2002	137,830	74,970	(62,860)
August	2002	169,739	90,000*	(79,739)
September	2002	176,799	(March2003)	
March2003				

Onimplement ation of the CSG, the National Department of Social Development set annual targets, based on Census 96 information, for the potential number of children that would be eligible for this grant. In 1999/00 and 2000/01 targets

werehigherthanthenumberofc hildrenactuallyreceivingthegrant. However, in 2001/02, the target was exceeded by nearly double and in the current financial year, the national target (90000 by 31 March 2003) has already been exceeded by 100% and the number of grantees continues to grow. This poses a problem to this department's budget, as the rearenote noughfunds available to deal with the situation.

Currently the Western Cape Provincial Treasury, the Department of Social Services, the National Treasury and National Department of Social Development are in consultation to find a solution to this crisis. It is feared that the financial implicationsofthissituationwillcontinuetohaveanegativeimpactontheMTEF budgetyearsas"conservatively"settake -upratetargetsandr elatedexpenditure have been built into them. For 2002/03 an overexpenditure of approximately R70 million is expected on this grant alone. It is very difficult to determine the upper limit with regard to the potential number of eligible children for the mainly because of a lack of proper demographic information, migration patterns betweenthis and other provinces and regulatory changes impacting on eligibility status. According to recent analysis, approximately 624 000 children fall within the agegroup0 -6yearswithintheWesternCape.BytheendofAugust2002 close to 30% of this total were already receiving the CSG. Poverty and income indicatorswouldseemtosuggestaroughestimateof50%or312 000ofthechild populationbeingpoten tiallyeligiblefortheCSG.

DisabilityGrant

The disability grant (DG) is paid to individual sthat are physically and/or mentally disabled. Since December 2001 there has been a huge increase in the number of disabled beneficiaries, attributable to nat ionally driven changes in the regulatory framework governing the disability grant effected on 1 December 2001. This increase has had a severe impact on the budget of this Department. The changes relate to the scrapping of the requirement for a pension me dical of ficer or gatekeeper to review the assessments of general practitioners, which obviously led to a less stringent grant qualification process. Table 5 below sets out the number of disability grant beneficiaries.

Table5:DisabilityGrantBenefici aryNumbers

Month	Year	Beneficiary Numbers	%Growth
April	1999	89 257	
April	2000	89 960	0,79
April	2001	90 721	0,85
November	2001	93 249	2,79
August	2002	117 656	20,74
September	2002	119 867	1,84

From Table 5 above it is apparent that there were no significant increases in the number of beneficiaries for this grant from April 1999 to November 2001. However, in a period of 10 months (November 2001 to August 2002) the number of beneficiaries increased by over 26%, which stands in stark contrast to the previous two years. As mentioned earlier, nationally driven changes to the regulatory framework of the disability grant have caused unexpected expenditure for this department's budget in 2002/03, which is already struggling to cope with the rapid take e-uprate of the CSG.

Calculations show that the department is anticipated to exceed its 2002/03 budgetforthedisabilitygrantbyapproximatelyR223million. Itisalsofearedthat if this trend of beneficiary numbers continues, it will have a negative e financial impactonMTEFbudgetsaschangesinthedisabilitygrantregulatoryframework havenotbeenfactoredintotheMTEFbudgets.

ImpactofGrowthinCSGandDG

Table6:GrowthinCSGandDGbeneficiaries

GrantType	August2002	March2003	March2004	March2005
CSG	169 739	219 766	304 705	422 474
DG	117 656	125 671	144 823	166 894
Total	287 395	345 437	449 529	589 368

Projections in Table 6 above are based on the growth parameters in beneficiary numbers from April 2002 to August 2002 as a reed with National Treasury.

Table7:FinancialimpactofgrowthinCSGandDGbeneficiaries

FinancialYear	R'000
2002/03	315 101
2003/04	608 520
2004/05	999 101
Total	1 922 722

From the above it is apparent that the Province is not in a positio n to accommodate the pressure in this regard.

Regulation11Backpay

Regulation11oftheSocialAssistanceAct,1992,promulgatedon31March1998 inGovernmentNoticeR418,whichstatesthatagrantmustaccruefromthedate ofapproval,wasdeclared invalidbytheHighCourtofSouthAfricaandsetaside,

resultinginbackpaymentstoqualifyingapplicants.

Theimplicationsoftheaboverulingisthat, with effect from 1 April 1998, agrant must accrue from the date of application and not from the date of application and not from the date on which it was approved. Because of this the Western Cape Social Services and Poverty Alleviation Department is obligated to compensate beneficiaries who have applied and qualified for grants since 1 April 1998 for losses suffered as a result of their mplementation of Regulation 11.

On the grounds of the above ruling an amount of R175,508 million was made available to the Western Cape to fund the payment of arrears. Of this total an amount of R2,5 million was set aside for administrative costs relate d to the payment of arrears. The balance is used for the backpayment of the various social grants.

Table 8 indicates how the various social grants will be paid out. In June 2002 and subsequent months the Child Support Grant was paid. In July 2002 and subsequentmonths, the Old Age and War Veterans grants as well as the grant in-aid related to these grants were paid out. In August and September 2002, Disability, Foster Care and Care Dependency grants as well as grants related to these were paid out. In active cases will be dealt with in September and the following months.

Table8:Regulation11Payments

GRANTS	Actual Expenditure June R'000	Actual Expenditure July R'000	Actual Expenditure August R'000	Actual Expenditure todateasat 31 August 2002 R'000	Budgeted Expenditure R'000
ChildSupport	19 824	1 192	72	21 088	30 862
OldAge		21 410	539	21 949	27 685
WarVeterans		18	5	23	35
Disability			3 845	3 845	38 823
Grant-in-Aid		136	6	142	510
FosterCare			599	599	39 829
CareDependency			2 457	2 457	6 461
InactiveCases					28 776
Total	19 824	22 756	7 523	50 103	172 981

It has been determined that thus far approximately 129 000 children have been reached with the backpayment of the CSG. The administrative costs are currently standing at R2.3 million and range from communication to personnel, including transportant equipment.

AssessmentofServiceDeliveryandPerformanceMeasures

When assessing service delivery one should focus on Programme 4: Social Security. Asthepaymentofsoci algrantsis a prime function of this department, it can be seen that the targets set for some of the grantshave been exceeded.

Forthe Child Support Grant the number of children that would be registered was projected at 152 537 for August 2002. Howeve r, the actual number of children registered is 181 624, which exceeds the projection by 19%. It is also interesting to note that the target that was set by the National Department of Social Development is 90000 by March 2003.

Forthe Disability Grant the number of beneficiaries that would be registered was projected by the Department of Social Services at 90 831 for August 2002. However, the actual number of beneficiaries registered is 115 691, which exceeds the projection by 27%.

Another factor that can be considered in assessing service delivery is the processing of grants. The norm for the processing of grants has been set by the Department of Social Services at 25 days in the Western Cape, although the national norm for the country is 35 working days. If one considers this norm for the processing of grants and the number of beneficiaries by which the grant targets for the CSG and the DG are exceeded, it is evident that the department is delivering more than the required services.

Althoughtheser viceisbeingdelivered, one needs to focus on personnel as well. The "personnel: beneficiary" ratio should be 1:800; currently, because of the increase in beneficiary numbers, the ratio stands at 1:1500. By comparing these ratios it would appear that additional staff is required if service delivery is to remain effective.

ShiftingPovertyAlleviation

Poverty Relief projects were introduced to provide skills development and food security. Belowisatable illustrating the allocations for Poverty Relie f.

Table9:Sub -Programme:PovertyAlleviation

Sub-Programme	2001/02Actual	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05
	Expenditure	Voted	MTEF	MTEF
	R'000	R'000	R'000	R'000
PovertyRelief	3 010	12 367	12 946	13 246

Table7reflectslowspendingfor2001/02compared withthe2002/03budget.In ordertohaveamorefocusedapproachtopovertyrelief,Cabinetdeemeditfitto shift Poverty Relief from Vote 1: Premier, Director -General and Corporate ServicestoVote7:SocialServices.

Conclusion

Ifcurrentgrowthi nChildSupportGrantandDisabilityGrantbeneficiarynumbers continues,DevelopmentalSocialServiceDeliverywillbecrowdedout. Likewise, thegrowthrateswillcrowdoutotherservicesinthesocialservicesectorsuchas EducationandHealth.

Growth in beneficiary numbers should thus be contained by ensuring that only thosewholegitimately qualify receive the grants.

External factors such as national policy shifts need to be resourced through an adequate shift in the vertical split of revenue collected nationally infavour of the Province.

Notwithstanding the aforementioned, the importance of Developmental Social Service Delivery should not be underestimated, as it will help the Department to achieve its vision of a self - reliant society.

The National Department responsible for the management of the SOCPEN (security grant) system needs to be encouraged to improve the efficiency of the system.

The Department is in the process of instituting various cost -saving initiatives, but their impact will not be substantial, as savings to the tune of R30 million are envisaged.