



Reference: 20180411-1106
12/2/8/13/2
Enquiries: TE Sikiti

Curriculum FET Minute: DCF 0010/2018

To: Deputy Directors-General, Chief Directors, Directors, Chief Education Specialists, Heads: Curriculum Co-ordination and Advice, Circuit Managers, Heads: IMG Co-ordination and Advice, Deputy Chief Education Specialists, Assessment Co-ordinators, Curriculum Advisers for Economics, Accounting, Mathematics and Life Orientation and Principals of schools offering Grades 10 to 12

Subject: Nedbank and the Provincial Treasury Grade 11 essay writing competition

1. The Western Cape Provincial Treasury, in collaboration with Nedbank and the Western Cape Education Department (WCED), invites schools to enter for the 2018/2019 Western Cape Essay Writing Bursary Competition.
2. The Bursary Competition offers Grade 11 learners in the province, taking a combination of Economics, Mathematics and Accounting as subjects, the opportunity of obtaining bursaries and other incentives to further their studies in these fields at a university.
3. A maximum of twelve bursaries, as well as a cash prize as financial assistance during their Grade 12 year, will be awarded to learners who intend obtaining a degree in Economics (e.g. B. Comm [Economics]), Accounting (e.g. B. Acc, B. Compt), Commerce (e.g. B. Bus. Science) or Mathematics at a Western Cape university.
4. The bursaries are for Grade 11 learners who excel (minimum of 60%) in at least two of the subjects Economics, Mathematics and Accounting and who qualify for entrance to a university in 2020. Entrants must be South African citizens, residing in the Western Cape, who have a good command of spoken and written English.
5. Prizes for the teachers of the winning learners:
 - A cash prize to the teacher of each of the learners who are placed 1st, 2nd and 3rd.
 - Cash prizes to the teacher of each of the other learners who are awarded bursaries.

6. Principals will be asked to provide the names of the teachers concerned.
7. The bursary competition aims to:
 - Promote enthusiasm for Economics, Mathematics, Accounting and career possibilities.
 - Promote knowledge and skills in Economics, Mathematics and Accounting.
 - Develop problem-solving and analytical abilities.
 - Expose learners to research methods and essay-writing skills.
 - Provide learners with access and links to the world of work.
 - Raise awareness of socio-economic issues in South Africa.
 - Develop future leaders in the fields of Economics, Mathematics and Accounting.
 - Encourage and reward excellence.
8. The timeframe for this bursary competition is from March 2018 to March 2019.
9. Please consult the following Annexure documents:
 - Annexure A : Additional important information
 - Annexure B : Essay topic (supplied only in English) with its marking rubric
 - Annexure C : The Entry form
 - Annexure D : The Guidelines for good essay writing
10. Schools should choose promising learners to participate in the competition. They will be required to write an essay of approximately 2 200 words. The rest of Grade 11 Economics learners may write an essay on the same topic to a maximum of 600 words, which will count as part of the research project for the School Based Assessment.
11. A team of teachers at the school will use the assessment rubric provided to choose the top two performers who fulfil the minimum requirement of 60% (essay and oral presentations combined) to go through to the next round of the competition. The essays and mark sheets of the top two performers and of all other learners who achieved above 60% must be submitted to the district office for assessment at district level by no later than 7 September 2018.
12. An assessment panel in each district will assess the qualifying essays from schools and choose the finalists. The 10 best essays per district will be chosen by this panel and sent through to the provincial level by 21 September 2018.
13. The twenty-six (26) best essays will be chosen by the final selection panel, consisting of representatives from Nedbank, the Provincial Treasury and the WCED.

14. A maximum of twenty-six (26) finalists will be invited to attend the final selection in Cape Town in March/April 2019 – on a Friday afternoon and Saturday morning. They will be required to give an oral presentation on their research findings and to respond to questions put to them by the selection panel. The Economics teachers of the finalists will be invited to accompany them. The district panel members will be invited to attend the awards ceremony on the Saturday evening.
15. Enquiries about the essay-writing and marking must be directed to the district Economics curriculum adviser.
16. Principals are requested to bring the contents of this minute to the attention of all Grade 11 Economics, Mathematics, Accounting, English and Life Orientation teachers.

SIGNED: PAD BEETS

DEPUTY DIRECTOR-GENERAL: CURRICULUM AND ASSESSMENT MANAGEMENT

DATE: 2018-05-08

NEDBANK AND THE PROVINCIAL TREASURY GRADE 11 ESSAY WRITING COMPETITION

Additional important information:

1. Information about the entry requirements:

- All essays must be submitted in English and must be typed.
- The essay title must appear on the first page of the essay.
- The number of words in the essay must also appear on the first page.
- All pages must be numbered.
- The learner's name and that of the school must not appear on the pages of the essay. These details must appear only on the entry form.
- The essay, excluding the bibliography, must contain between 2 200 and 2 500 words.

2. The oral presentation forms part of the total competition mark and must be conducted in English at school and during the final adjudication.

3. The following documents must accompany each entry:

3.1 Completed entry form.

3.2 Marked essay and completed rubric.

3.3 A certified copy of entrant's SA identity document or birth certificate.

3.4 A certified copy of entrant's Grade 10 academic results and June academic results for Grade 11.

3.5 A declaration of authenticity.

3.6 Proof of combined income of parents.

4. Competition time frames

4.1 Final date for essays to be submitted to the school convenor: 24 August 2018.

4.2 Adjudication at schools: 24 August to 05 September 2018.

- 4.3 Submission to local district office: 07 September 2018.
Label the envelope as follows:
For attention: Economics Subject Advisor
(Nedbank-Treasury-WCED Bursary Competition)
- 4.4 Adjudication at district level to be completed by an appointed panel during September 2018.
- 4.5 The ten best entries per district to be submitted to the Deputy Chief Education Specialist: Economics at the Western Cape Education Department (WCED) Head Office by 21 September 2018.
- 4.6 The 26 finalists will be selected by a panel by January 2019.
- 4.7 Finalists interviewed and winners announced on a Friday afternoon and Saturday in March/April 2019.

5. Adjudication information

5.1 Primary criteria

5.1.1 The focus of the competition is on academic excellence and the potential of each learner.

5.1.2 Essays will be judged primarily on merit.

5.1.3 Essays of equal merit will be evaluated further, using the following criteria:

- Academic results (Grade 10 results and June results for Grade 11)
- Learner's financial needs, based on parents' or guardians' household income
- Disabilities (equal opportunities will be taken into account)
- Learner's community involvement and commitment to addressing the country's socio-economic problems

5.2 Additional criteria

The final adjudication panel will interview the finalists to determine the winners. The panel will evaluate each learner in terms of the following criteria:

5.2.1 Intellectual sparkle

5.2.2 Ability to formulate and express a rational argument

5.2.3 Knowledge and understanding of current socio-economic issues

6. General information

- 6.1 A maximum of 26 learners will be selected as finalists.
- 6.2 All finalists will be guests of the Western Cape Provincial Government and Nedbank, and will be expected to attend all functions arranged.
- 6.3 All transport and accommodation costs will be paid for finalists and teachers or chaperones that live more than 250 km from Cape Town. Only transport costs will be paid to finalists and educators or chaperones that live within 250 km of Cape Town.
- 6.4 The teachers or chaperones will be expected to accompany their learners and act as mentors for them.

NEDBANK AND THE PROVINCIAL TREASURY GRADE 11 ESSAY WRITING COMPETITION

Research Project Topic for Grade 11 Programme of Assessment (2018)

- This research task should be done by all learners (600 words).

OR

- May be submitted for the 2018/2019 Nedbank-Treasury-WCED Essay Writing Bursary Competition (2 200 words) by promising learners (maximum two per school). The latter essay, however, may only be submitted in English.

Scenario:

South Africa, an envy of many global political leaders for the turning around of its political landscape in 1994. However, many (especially in local politics) have argued that since the advent of political democracy in South Africa, the country has not been able to shift its economic framework to favour the previously marginalised.

Radical socio-economic transformation is a phrase that has formed part of the current political debate in South Africa across the political party lines. During his State of the Nation Address (SONA) in 2017, the former President of the Republic of South Africa (President Hon. JG Zuma) defined the concept as follows:

"... A fundamental change in structure, systems, institutions and patterns of ownership, management and control of the economy in order to ensure that the economy is in favour of all South Africans especially the poor majority of whom are African and female, as defined by the governing party which makes policy for the democratic government."

Essay task:

Critically examine the above-mentioned scenario with reference to the **current South African situation** (political and economic) by **defining** what this phrase truly means through analysing the **reasons for its re-emergence**; various **perspectives** articulated by different political parties who argue for or against this drive and suggest **hindrances** behind the delay in accelerating economic redress policies. In addition to this, outline how the ruling majority political party intends to **roll this out** given all the socio-economic challenges faced by the current South African populace.

Note to subject teachers:

Learners should be guided to make use of the information provided in the following Economics content when compiling their essays:

Grade: 11

Term 1	Topic 1: Economic structure of South Africa & Topic 4: Wealth creation process and patterns of distribution
Term 2	Topic 4: Economic growth and development
Term 3	Topic 1: Economic growth and development Topic 2: Economic issues of the day: Poverty – an analysis and investigation
Term 4	Topic 4: Economic growth and development: South Africa's economic importance in Africa

To take into account:

- It is essential that the research essay is well researched and well structured.
- The essay should consist of a minimum of 2 200 words and it should conform to the required or suggested layout.
- Reference can be made to the Western Cape Education Department (WCED) Economics curriculum website and the Western Cape Provincial Treasury for guidelines to writing a good essay (essay writing tips) and study the *Nedbank Information Booklet*.

Additional to the above is that participating learners are to be provided with the marking guideline (a marking rubric) for adjudication of essays and oral presentations at the onset of the task, which provides the detailed criteria and performance levels to be adhered to.

Additional recommended resources:

- Western Cape Provincial Government Provincial Economic Review and Outlook
- National Budget 2017
- State of the Nation Address (SONA) 2017
- The ANC Fifth National Policy Conference Discussion Document on Economic Transformation
- EFF First National People's Assembly Resolutions
- National Development Plan – vision 2030
- Industrial Policy Action Plan (IPAP): Economic Sectors and Employment Cluster IPAP 2013/14–2015/16
- Budget 2017 – an Economic Overview document by the Department of Trade and Industry
- Any other relevant resources pertaining to the economic transformation agenda of South Africa.

NEDBANK AND THE PROVINCIAL TREASURY GRADE 11 ESSAY WRITING COMPETITION

LEARNER ESSAY AND ORAL PRESENTATION

This rubric is used to assess the learner's final product, namely:

- the research process;
- technical aspects (the framework);
- the content; and
- how the project is communicated (oral).

Scores in the marking rubric are converted to numerical values to award marks for the project.

ESSAY

RATING SCALE → CRITERIA ↓	1 = Not achieved 0–20%	2 = Partially achieved 21–39%	3 = Achieved 41–59%	4 = Achieved at a very good level 60–79%	5 = Outstanding/Excellent achievement 80–100%	Mark awarded (%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Technical aspects (framework) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▫ Title page ▫ Table of contents ▫ Introduction ▫ Contents ▫ Conclusions ▫ Recommendations ▫ Sources ▫ Min 2 200 words, max 2 500 	Has not met the technical requirements at all. Written presentation lacking in many aspects. Lacking detail evident in most aspects.	Partially meets some of the technical requirements. Not all criteria met – not enough detail evident in some aspects.	Meets the technical requirements. Neat appearance of project with adequate attention to detail in most aspects evident.	Project is attractive and meets all requirements very well. Very good attention to detail regarding all aspects is evident.	Exceeds all the technical requirements and has submitted an outstanding piece of work with supporting graphics (charts, pictures, photographs, diagrams and tables).	[] /100

RATING SCALE → CRITERIA ↓	1 = Not achieved 0–20%	2 = Partially achieved 21–39%	3 = Achieved 41–59%	4 = Achieved at a very good level 60–79%	5 = Outstanding/Excellent achievement 80–100%	Mark awarded (%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Research process <p>There is clear evidence that a thorough background study was done and that existing aspects which address the topic have been examined, using appropriate methods to conduct research (e.g. conducting interviews / recording own observations and taking photos/researching a variety of literature on the topic, etc.).</p>	<p>Displays little or no skill in data collection.</p> <p>Very little (only one or two) or no evidence of resources consulted.</p>	<p>Displays some skill in data collection.</p> <p>Some evidence of only a few resources consulted.</p>	<p>Shows knowledge of and displays skill in data collection.</p> <p>Acceptable evidence of a range of resources consulted.</p>	<p>Shows very good knowledge of and displays skill in data collection.</p> <p>Good evidence of very good range of resources consulted.</p>	<p>Shows outstanding knowledge of and displays excellent skill in data collection.</p> <p>Excellent evidence of extremely wide range of resources consulted.</p>	<p>[] /100</p>
	<p>Displays little or no skill in:</p> <p>Recording & organising data e.g. tables and graphs.</p>	<p>Displays some skill in:</p> <p>Recording & organising data e.g. tables and graphs.</p>	<p>Shows knowledge of and displays skill in:</p> <p>Recording & organising data e.g. tables and graphs.</p>	<p>Shows very good knowledge of and displays skill in:</p> <p>Recording & organising data e.g. tables and graphs.</p>	<p>Shows outstanding knowledge of and displays excellent skill in:</p> <p>Recording & organising data e.g. tables and graphs.</p>	<p>[] /100</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Content Introduction 	<p>Introduction not relevant to topic at all – no interest roused.</p>	<p>Introduction has some relevance to topic – very little interest is roused on what is to follow.</p>	<p>Introduction relevant and sufficient to introduce essay in most aspects – some interested roused.</p>	<p>Very good introduction, sufficient both in length and coverage of all aspects to be addressed – rouses interest on what is to follow.</p>	<p>Introduction provides all parameters of research topic in an exciting way – reader inquisitive and interested in what is to follow.</p>	<p>[] /100</p>

RATING SCALE → CRITERIA ↓	1 = Not achieved 0–20%	2 = Partially achieved 21–39%	3 = Achieved 41–59%	4 = Achieved at a very good level 60–79%	5 = Outstanding/Excellent achievement 80–100%	Mark awarded (%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Content Body <p>Critically examine <u>economic and political context</u> of South Africa</p>	<p>Shows no or limited understanding of the topic.</p>	<p>Has some idea of the topic. Not convinced that political views in South Africa were analysed satisfactorily. Discussion not convincing at all.</p>	<p>Shows a good understanding of the topic. Shows that political views in South Africa were examined well & discussed in some detail.</p>	<p>Shows a very good understanding of the topic. Evident that that political views in South Africa were critically analysed very well & discussed in good detail.</p>	<p>Shows an excellent understanding of the topic. Clear evidence that political views in South Africa were critically, excellently analysed & discussed in great detail with the candidate's relevant views clearly expressed.</p>	<p>Mark [] X2 [] /200</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Content Body <p>Provide an analysis of the <u>reasons for its re-emergence</u> in the SA economic landscape</p>	<p>Shows no or limited understanding of this aspect.</p>	<p>Has some idea of this aspect. Not convinced that the cited reasons were carefully analysed. Discussion not convincing at all.</p>	<p>Shows a good understanding of this aspect. Shows that the reasons for its re-emergence were analysed & discussed in some detail.</p>	<p>Shows a very good understanding of this aspect. Evident that the reasons for its re-emergence were analysed & discussed in good detail.</p>	<p>Shows an excellent understanding of this aspect. Clear evidence that the reasons for its re-emergence were analysed & discussed in great detail.</p>	<p>Mark [] X2 [] /200</p>

RATING SCALE → CRITERIA ↓	1 = Not achieved 0–20%	2 = Partially achieved 21–39%	3 = Achieved 41–59%	4 = Achieved at a very good level 60–79%	5 = Outstanding/Excellent achievement 80–100%	Mark awarded (%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Content Body <p>Outline various perspectives (points of view) by different major political opposition parties cited as hindrances behind the acceleration of these policies.</p>	<p>Not able to make <u>practical recommendations</u> to government and suggest creative, practical strategies.</p>	<p>Very <u>limited outline of perspectives</u> by various opposition political parties suggesting hindrances behind the acceleration of these policies.</p>	<p>A <u>good outline and display of perspectives</u> by various political parties (opposition) suggesting hindrances behind the acceleration of these policies.</p>	<p>A very good outline and display of perspectives by various political parties (opposition) suggesting hindrances behind the acceleration of these policies.</p>	<p>An excellent outline and display of perspectives by various political parties (opposition) suggesting hindrances behind the acceleration of these policies.</p>	<p>Mark [] X2 [] /200</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Content Body <p>Suggest reasons and political advice (recommendations) to the ruling majority party (the ANC) on how these policies can be accelerated through radical socio-economic transformation</p>	<p>Not able to make <u>practical recommendations</u> and suggestions to the ruling party (ANC) as a way of ensuring that economic transformation in South Africa is realised.</p>	<p>Very little <u>critical thinking displayed</u> – an inability to make practical recommendations and suggestions to the ruling party (ANC), suggesting impractical, unsound and unjustified strategies which are meant to ensuring that economic transformation is achieved.</p>	<p><u>Good critical thinking displayed</u> – an ability to make <u>practical recommendations and suggestions</u> to the ruling party (ANC), suggesting practical, sound and justified strategies which are meant to ensuring that economic transformation is achieved.</p>	<p><u>Very good critical thinking displayed</u> – an ability to make very good <u>practical recommendations and suggestions</u> to the ruling party (ANC), suggesting practical, sound and justified strategies which are meant to ensuring that economic transformation is achieved.</p>	<p>Excellent critical thinking displayed – a creative ability to make excellent practical recommendations <u>and suggestions</u> to the ruling party (ANC), suggesting very practical, sound and justified strategies which are meant to ensuring that economic transformation is achieved.</p>	<p>Mark [] X2 [] /200</p>

RATING SCALE → CRITERIA ↓	1 = Not achieved 0–20%	2 = Partially achieved 21–39%	3 = Achieved 41–59%	4 = Achieved at a very good level 60–79%	5 = Outstanding/Excellent achievement 80–100%	Mark awarded (%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Final conclusion 	<p>Conclusion not related to research done or content – no valid conclusions regarding SA government and on how SA government and other stakeholders will work together to achieve radical socio-economic transformation in our lifetime.</p>	<p>Conclusion not satisfactory – does not portray research done – most conclusions are not valid or practical regarding how SA government and other stakeholders will work together to achieve radical socio-economic transformation in our lifetime.</p>	<p>Good conclusion, however does not encapsulate research done – some conclusions not 100% valid regarding how SA government and other stakeholders will work together to achieve radical socio-economic transformation in our lifetime.</p>	<p>Very good conclusion – encapsulates research, findings and recommendations regarding how SA government and other stakeholders will work together to achieve radical socio-economic transformation in our lifetime.</p>	<p>Impressive conclusion – encapsulates valid and relevant research, findings regarding how SA government and other stakeholders will work together to achieve radical socio-economic transformation in our lifetime.</p>	<p>Mark [] X2 [] /200</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> References / sources 	<p>Extremely few sources listed in an unacceptable, disorganised way.</p>	<p>Only a few sources listed with quite a number of errors in referencing protocol.</p>	<p>Acceptable list of sources listed with a number of errors in referencing protocol.</p>	<p>Very good list of sources listed in correct format with only a very few errors in referencing protocol.</p>	<p>Impressive list of sources listed perfectly in correct format in accordance with accepted referencing protocol.</p>	<p>[] /100</p>
<p>TOTAL (Important: Out of 1 500 and divided by 15)</p>						<p>[]/100</p>

ORAL PRESENTATION (compulsory for learners participating in the Nedbank Bursary competition)

RATING SCALE → CRITERIA ↓	1 = Not achieved 0–20%	2 = Partially achieved 21–39%	3 = Achieved 41–59%	4 = Achieved at a very good level 60–79%	5 = Outstanding / Excellent achievement 80–100%	Mark awarded (%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oral presentation <p>Self-confidence & intellectual sparkle.</p> <p>Contact with audience.</p>	<p>Total lack of confidence. Learner often hesitates – loses train of thought.</p> <p>Does not manage to interest audience at all.</p>	<p>Learner lacks self-confidence.</p> <p>Does not manage to raise audience interest in presentation.</p>	<p>Fairly confident presentation.</p> <p>Just manages to get audience interested in presentation.</p>	<p>Enthusiastic, confident presentation.</p> <p>Obtains good level of contact with audience – raises very good audience interest in presentation.</p>	<p>Radiates self-confidence, enthusiasm and academic sparkle.</p> <p>Holds audience in palm of their hand.</p>	/100
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Understanding and knowledge of topic 	Demonstrates almost total lack of insight into the topic.	Demonstrates lacking insight into the topic.	Illustrates some insight into the topic.	Illustrates good insight into the topic.	Illustrates excellent insight, perspective into the topic.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Content Body <p>Critically examine the economic and political context of South Africa.</p>	Shows no or limited understanding of the topic. No articulation of Economic and Political views in South Africa.	Has some idea of the topic. Not convinced that reasons for climate change in South Africa was analysed satisfactorily. The discussion is not convincing at all.	Shows a relatively good understanding of the topic. Shows that both Economic and Political views in South Africa were well examined	Shows a very good understanding of the topic. Evident that both Economic and Political views in South Africa were well examined	Shows an excellent understanding of the topic. Clear evidence that Evident that both Economic and Political views in South Africa were well examined	/100

RATING SCALE → CRITERIA ↓	1 = Not achieved 0–20%	2 = Partially achieved 21–39%	3 = Achieved 41–59%	4 = Achieved at a very good level 60–79%	5 = Outstanding / Excellent achievement 80–100%	Mark awarded (%)
			and assessed in some detail.	and assessed in good detail.	and assessed in great detail.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Content Body <p>Provide an analysis of the reasons for its re-emergence in the South African economic landscape.</p>	Shows no or limited understanding of this aspect.	Has some idea of this aspect. Not convinced that the reasons cited for its re-emergence in the South African economic landscape were satisfactorily discussed. Therefore, the discussion is not convincing at all.	Shows a relatively good understanding of this aspect. A relatively good analysis of the reasons for its re-emergence was explored in some detail.	Shows a very good understanding of this aspect. A very good analysis of the reasons for its re-emergence was well articulated, discussed and well explored.	Shows an excellent understanding of this aspect. Evidently, the reasons for its re-emergence were well articulated, discussed and excellently explored.	/100
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Content Body <p>Outline various perspectives (points of view) by different major opposition political parties, cited as hindrances behind the acceleration of these economic policies.</p>	<u>Not able to make practical recommendations</u> to government and suggest creative, practical strategies.	<u>Very limited outline of perspectives</u> by various opposition political parties suggesting hindrances behind the acceleration of these economic policies.	A good outline and display of perspectives by various opposition political parties suggesting hindrances behind the acceleration of these economic policies. <u>Good practical recommendations</u> that will ensure the acceleration of economic policies for	Very good critical thinking displayed – ability to make very good and practical recommendations to government and suggest creative, practical strategies is critically sound and justified. <u>Very good practical recommendations</u> that will ensure and improve the SA	Excellent critical thinking displayed – creative ability to make excellent practical recommendations and suggestions to the ruling party (ANC), suggesting very <u>practical, sound and justified</u> strategies which are meant to ensuring that economic	/100

RATING SCALE → CRITERIA ↓	1 = Not achieved 0–20%	2 = Partially achieved 21–39%	3 = Achieved 41–59%	4 = Achieved at a very good level 60–79%	5 = Outstanding / Excellent achievement 80–100%	Mark awarded (%)
			positive economic growth and development.	environment and therefore promote economic sustainability.	transformation is achieved.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Content Body <p>Make <u>practical</u> and <u>feasible</u> recommendations to the <u>SA government and the private sector</u> to accelerate economic transformation in our life-time.</p>	<p>Not able to make <u>practical and feasible recommendations</u> to government and the business sector. Creative and practical strategies, which will ensure that socio-economic transformation in South Africa happens in our life-time are non-existent.</p>	<p><u>Very little critical thinking displayed</u> – ability to make practical and feasible recommendations to government and the business sector is rather limited. Lack of ability to suggest creative and practical strategies which will ensure that socio-economic transformation in South Africa happens in our life-time.</p>	<p><u>Good critical thinking displayed</u> – ability to make practical recommendations to government and the business sector suggests creative and practical strategies, which are sound and justified. These strategies will ensure that socio-economic transformation in South Africa happens in our life-time.</p>	<p><u>Very good critical thinking displayed</u> – a good ability to make practical recommendations to government and the business sector suggests creative and practical strategies, which are sound and justified. These strategies will ensure that socio-economic transformation in South Africa happens in our life-time.</p>	<p><u>Excellent critical thinking displayed</u> – creative ability to make practical recommendations to government and the business sector suggests creative and practical strategies, which are sound and justified. These strategies will ensure that socio-economic transformation in South Africa happens in our life-time.</p>	/100
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Final conclusion 	No final conclusion or completely unjustified conclusion made.	Final conclusion not completely justified and with deficiencies.	Generally sound final conclusion.	Very sound final conclusion.	Creative, convincing conclusion.	/100

RATING SCALE → CRITERIA ↓	1 = Not achieved 0–20%	2 = Partially achieved 21–39%	3 = Achieved 41–59%	4 = Achieved at a very good level 60–79%	5 = Outstanding / Excellent achievement 80–100%	Mark awarded (%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ability to formulate and express rational arguments, use of Economic and Maths related terminology 	<p>Total lack of ability to formulate and express rational arguments – audience not convinced by presentation.</p> <p>Use of Economics terminology very poor.</p> <p>Very poor demonstration of Mathematics related terminology and information, e.g. tables graphs, statistics.</p>	<p>Lacking ability to formulate and express rational arguments – audience not convinced by presentation.</p> <p>Use of Economics terminology lacking.</p> <p>Lacking demonstration of Mathematics related terminology and information, e.g. tables graphs, statistics.</p>	<p>Demonstrates some ability to formulate and express rational arguments – audience not totally convinced by presentation.</p> <p>Fair use of Economics terminology.</p> <p>Fair demonstration of Mathematics related terminology and information, e.g. tables graphs, statistics.</p>	<p>Demonstrates good ability to formulate and express rational arguments – audience convinced by presentation.</p> <p>Good use of Economics terminology.</p> <p>Good demonstration of Mathematics related terminology and information, e.g. tables graphs, statistics.</p>	<p>Demonstrates excellent ability to formulate and express rational arguments – audience totally convinced by presentation.</p> <p>Excellent use of Economics terminology.</p> <p>Excellent demonstration of Mathematics related terminology and information, e.g. tables, graphs, statistics.</p>	/100
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of media 	<p>Unfamiliar with use of media.</p> <p>Presentation riddled with errors.</p> <p>Very little care taken.</p>	<p>Quite a number of obvious errors in use of media.</p> <p>Some errors.</p> <p>More care could have been taken.</p>	<p>Standard presentation.</p> <p>No obvious errors in use of media.</p> <p>Adequate care in preparation of presentation has been taken.</p>	<p>Very good presentation.</p> <p>Confident in use of media.</p> <p>Presentation has been prepared very well.</p>	<p>Original, creative presentation – excellently portrayed.</p> <p>Utmost care is evident in meticulous presentation.</p>	/100

RATING SCALE → CRITERIA ↓	1 = Not achieved 0–20%	2 = Partially achieved 21–39%	3 = Achieved 41–59%	4 = Achieved at a very good level 60–79%	5 = Outstanding / Excellent achievement 80–100%	Mark awarded (%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ability to understand questions and formulate and express rational responses to questions 	Responses to questions completely lacking understanding of aspects inquired into – almost all questions not answered satisfactorily/ unable to answer most questions.	Responses to questions indicate a lacking understanding of aspects inquired into – most questions not answered satisfactorily/ unable to answer some questions	Responses to questions indicate a good understanding of aspects inquired into – some questions not answered satisfactorily/ hesitant on some questions.	Responses to questions indicate very good understanding of aspects inquired into. All questions answered well.	Responses to questions indicate excellent understanding of aspects inquired into. All questions excellently answered.	 /100 X 2 = /200
TOTAL (Important: Out of 1000 and divided by 20)						/50

FINAL SCORE:

Essay	/100	
Oral presentation	/50	
Total	/150	
Converted to /100 (mark by 1,5)	/100	

AUTHENTICITY DECLARATION

NAME OF SCHOOL	
NAME OF LEARNER	
GRADE	

LEARNER STATEMENT

I hereby declare that this is my own, original work and that all sources were acknowledged.	
_____ SIGNATURE OF LEARNER	_____ DATE

STATEMENT BY TEACHER

As far as I could ascertain, the above learner statement is true and I accept that the work offered is the learner's own.	
_____ SIGNATURE OF TEACHER	_____ DATE



MAKE
THINGS
HAPPEN



NEDBANK

THE WESTERN CAPE ESSAY COMPETITION

Entry Form

School information

Name of school:			
<input type="text"/>			
Name of principal:		Signature of principal:	
<input type="text"/>		<input type="text"/>	
Name of convener / teacher:		Signature of convener / teacher:	
<input type="text"/>		<input type="text"/>	
Contact details of principal:			
Daytime telephone	<input type="text"/>	Fax	<input type="text"/>
Cellphone	<input type="text"/>	Email address	<input type="text"/>
Postal address	<input type="text"/>		Code <input type="text"/>
Contact details of convenor / teacher:			
Daytime telephone	<input type="text"/>	Fax	<input type="text"/>
Cellphone	<input type="text"/>	Email address	<input type="text"/>
Postal address	<input type="text"/>		Code <input type="text"/>

Learner information - please complete in full in block letters in your own handwriting.

Gender : Mr <input type="checkbox"/>	Ms <input type="checkbox"/>	Race: A <input type="checkbox"/>	C <input type="checkbox"/>	I <input type="checkbox"/>	W <input type="checkbox"/>
First name:		Surname:			
<input type="text"/>		<input type="text"/>			
Identity number: (from I.D. Document or Birth Certificate)			Date of birth:		
<input type="text"/>			YYYY / MM / DD		
Contact details:					
Daytime telephone	<input type="text"/>	Fax	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
Cellphone	<input type="text"/>	Email address	<input type="text"/>		
Postal address	<input type="text"/>			Code	<input type="text"/>
Do you have a disability?	Y <input type="checkbox"/>	N <input type="checkbox"/>	Nature of disability	<input type="text"/>	

THE WESTERN CAPE ESSAY COMPETITION

Entry Form

Grade 10 academic results (November Exam)

Subject	Percentage:

Grade 11 academic results (June Exam)

Subject	Percentage:

Indication of combined annual household income of parents (proof required).

R0 - R150 000

R150 000 - R300 000

R300 000 - R450 000

R450 000 plus

I have read, understand and agree to be bound by the rules of the competition. I hereby certify that all details supplied on this entry form are true and that I have completed this form in my own handwriting.

Name: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS MUST ACCOMPANY THE ENTRY FORM:

- Your essay, in English and typed.
- A copy of your SA identity document or birth certificate.
- A copy of your grade 10 results and your June results of grade 11.
- The declaration of authenticity.
- Proof of combined annual household income of parents.
- The rubric completed by teacher.
- Learner profile

Good luck!



**NEDBANK AND OLD MUTUAL
BUDGET SPEECH COMPETITION**



ASSISTANCE PROGRAMME TO STUDENTS

ESSAY WRITING MANUAL

**This manual was specially commissioned by the sponsors of the
Nedbank & Old Mutual Budget Speech Competition as an aid to
students entering the competition. It was written by:
Professor Rajendra Chetty
Cape Peninsula University of Technology
April 2006.**

Table of contents

1. **Objective**
2. **Introduction**
3. **Writing an academic essay**
 - 3.1 What are the steps to writing an academic essay?
 - 3.2 Who are my readers?
 - 3.3 Why is my idea important here and now?
 - 3.4 What makes a winning academic essay?
 - 3.4 How do I start with my essay?
 - 3.5 How do I make my essay engaging and interesting?
4. **Academic Research**
 - 4.1 What is academic research?
 - 4.2 Why must I do research?
 - 4.3 What is not academic research?
 - 4.4 How do I use sources in my essay?
 - 4.4 Hints to remember with research.
5. **The hypothesis**
 - 5.1 What is the hypothesis?
 - 5.2 Why is the hypothesis important?
 - 5.3 How do I structure the hypothesis?
6. **Structuring and articulating the debate**
 - 6.1 What is a debate in an essay?
 - 6.2 How do I debate?
 - 6.3 Why must I debate?
 - 6.4 How do I think critically in my essay?
 - 6.5 How do I evaluate an argument?
7. **Concluding the essay**
 - 7.1 What should I be careful of?
 - 7.2 Questions to ask myself
8. **Language editing**
 - 8.1 How can I ensure a smooth flow in my sentences?
 - 8.2 What transition words can help to link my sentences and paragraphs?
 - 8.3 How do I do the final editing?
 - 8.4 Before I submit the essay, did I do the following?
9. **Referencing**
 - 9.1 What do I include in my list of references?
 - 9.2 How do I reference correctly and consistently?
 - 9.3 What should I be careful of?
 - 9.4 How do I use footnotes?
 - 9.5 Examples of references

1. Objective

The objective of this manual is to provide assistance with writing a successful academic essay.

2. Introduction

Either write something worth reading; or do something worth writing.

Benjamin Franklin

If you know the steps towards writing a successful essay and understand what to do to make the essay interesting, creative and reader-friendly, the exercise can be easy and rewarding.

Follow the steps outlined in this manual to ensure that the quality of your essay is high, it has adhered to basic technical details and it is an academically excellent product. If you want a positive response from your reader, then you must consider the salient points of a good essay, namely, structure, style, logical arguments, current and contemporary information, well researched and correct language usage. This manual would address these points.

3. Writing an academic essay

3.6 What are the steps to writing an academic essay?

- Research the topic/question;
- Brainstorm the topic to get original ideas (your view is important!);
- Analyse the arguments;
- Define your main point or 'theme';
- Create a structure or outline;
- Write an introduction;
- Write the paragraphs;
- Write the conclusion;
- Complete the references; and
- Check your language.

3.7 Who are my readers?

Your readers are academics and experts in the field. They are therefore aware of contemporary information and current knowledge of the topic. Keep this in mind as you have to convince them that your essay is well researched and you understand the topic.

You must also bear in mind what the adjudicators are looking for in your essay. The webpage, www.budgetspeechcompetiton.co.za, would provide you with this information.

3.8 Why is my idea important here and now?

- You need to contribute sound arguments and innovative/new information on the topic; and
- You have to be convinced that your argument is important and that there is a need in you to share your ideas.

3.9 What makes a winning academic essay?

- You must have a central argument or theme;
- It must answer the key question;
- Do not write any information that does not answer this question, i.e. do not include any irrelevant information;
- It must be well-researched;
- Provide evidence of sources that you have consulted;
- The argument must be logical. If your essay is well structured, the argument would flow in a logical manner;

- The essay must be evidence-based. Your opinions are important, however, you must substantiate your points with evidence; and
- Provide relevant examples to strengthen your essay;

3.4 How do I start with my essay?

- Understand the question/topic;
- Check the meaning of the key words e.g. debate, describe, evaluate, discuss, etc.;
- You must know **exactly** what you need to do in the essay;
- Read widely on the topic;
- Do an internet search and a literature search in the library on the key words;
- Write! Write the ideas that come to you as you explore and discover the topic. Even if you are not sure if it answers the question, write the information down; and
- Collect data. Remember the most current information would appear in the media and journals.

3.10 How do I make my essay engaging and interesting?

- Write with passion. If you are not convinced that your essay has something interesting to offer the reader, you cannot convince anyone else!
- Have information that is unique and different. If it is boring, or if the reader has read it somewhere else, the reader would be disinterested; and
- Have a purpose for the essay. There must be something that you want to convey to the reader.

4. Academic Research

4.1 What is academic research?

- Academic research includes the use of information and ideas from books, journals, the internet and other secondary sources.
- You can also include primary sources e.g. an interview with an economist or the Minister of Finance.
- A well-researched essay would use a variety of sources, quote interesting information to substantiate the arguments and debate (discuss, interrogate, agree/disagree) with the information.

4.2 Why must I do research?

- It provides a good knowledge base for the topic;
- You include the most recent information;
- It adds credibility to your argument;
- It strengthens your essay; and
- It adds an academic slant to your essay.

4.4 What is not academic research?

- Summaries of books or articles;
- Relying on sources for the whole essay without personal input on the topic;
- Essays that are merely paraphrases of other peoples' arguments;
- Long quotations from different sources;
- Only using internet sources (it's a sign of limited research and reading!);
- Using a single text as a source; and
- Not reading critically, i.e. re-writing from the sources without engaging with the information.

4.4 How do I use sources in my essay?

4.4.1 Summarise

- Condense a main idea of an article or book;
- You must first understand what you read!
- Be concise;
- All information must be relevant to the question; and
- Be careful of '*Interesting*' information that is totally irrelevant to the question!

4.4.2 Quote

- Use quotation marks for direct quotes;

- Is the quote relevant to your argument? If not, do not include it;
- Quotes must be short;
- Take a little from a lot! Quote briefly from a variety of sources; and
- Can you paraphrase the idea? If yes, do not use the quote.

4.4.3 Paraphrase

- Recast an idea in your own words;
- Paraphrasing is better than quoting; and
- Most of the information in your essay should be paraphrased from sources.

4.5 Hints to remember with research

- Can the reader differentiate between your voice and the voice of your sources?
- Your essay would be confusing or contradictory if your voice gets mixed up with the different viewpoints of your sources.
- If you use someone else's idea or information, you must give them credit in the essay (see the section on referencing);
- You should respond or react to information and not just copy it;
- Don't only choose information that supports your argument. A good essayist also provides information that contradicts their argument. It gives you an opportunity to say why you disagree with the information!
- Use current information. If your essay leans on outdated information, the reader would not be impressed with you; and
- Use the thoughts and ideas of great thinkers in the field e.g. the country's top economists or academics.

5. The hypothesis

5.1 What is the hypothesis?

e.g. **A paradigm shift in fiscal policy would result in increased growth and development.**

- It is an intelligent guess of what you think the answer is to the key question in your essay;
- Your hypothesis is your **best** idea for your answer;
- It is a clear assertion around which you write your essay;
- You sum up your main points in a concise sentence;
- It is impossible to write a good essay without a hypothesis that drives the essay;
- The hypothesis is the foundation of your essay and it provides a clear focus in the essay; and
- The hypothesis lets your reader know where you are going and why.


5.2 Why is the hypothesis important?

- It emphasizes the central point that you want in the essay;
- It guides you in choosing your examples to substantiate your points;
- It helps you to think about the validity of your points; and
- It assists you to keep the reader convinced of your argument and to remain interested in your idea.

5.3 How do I structure the hypothesis?

- Know what your **claim** is. It is the key point in your essay;
- Give reasons for your claim;
- Provide evidence that your claim is true;
- Be logical as you link your **hypothesis** (what you think the answer is), your **claim** (why you think the answer should be that) and your **evidence** (content and research) to substantiate your claim.
- If you are sufficiently confident and convinced that your evidence supports your claim, then you can accept your hypothesis. You must be convinced of your claim!
- You should not start your essay with a hypothesis and then provide evidence that contradicts your hypothesis. Your essay will not be convincing.

6. Structuring and articulating the debate



6.1 What is a debate in an essay?

- When you debate a point in an essay, you use logic and reasoning;
- You decide on a core value and you argue why your core value should be accepted;
- It is an interactive exercise where you take a strong position on something (claim), and present an argument to defend that position;
- The two important factors in a debate are **logical argument** and **persuasion**; and
- You have to convince your reader that your claim is true.

6.2 How do I debate?

- Take sides on the topic and defend your stance;
- You need to form judgments based on your facts;
- Use sources in an intelligent manner;
- Interrogate your sources i.e. engage with the points raised by the authors e.g. agreeing or disagreeing;
- Don't simply recycle information from your sources without a robust discussion and linking with your hypothesis;
- Strengthen your argument by providing as much evidence and information as possible to counter the other side;
- Try to find faulty reasoning in the opposing arguments to strengthen your case;
- Provide counterexamples to strengthen your argument e.g. The sources may refer to Europe and you provide examples from Brazil or India to illustrate differences within developing countries; and
- Win your argument through logical presentation that leads to a convincing conclusion.

6.3 Why must I debate?

- It adds credibility to your argument;

- You don't rely only on opinions, but provide convincing evidence from your sources;
- Some essays have an **advocacy** approach where the argument advocates something very strongly e.g. ***The economic policy of a country should be monetarist.*** In this kind of essay you have to challenge your reader to accept your theory and argument by advocating very strongly what you believe. You do this by debating your points and countering the opposing views; and
- It shows the reader that you are thinking **critically**.

6.4 How do I think critically in my essay?

- Collect opinions and arguments from both sides (your standpoint and those that contradict you);
- Analyse the arguments and draw out implications from these statements;
- Examine the statements for contradictions;
- Evaluate the arguments;
- Locate the opposing claims to your own claim;
- Choose information that is relevant to your central issue, although they may oppose your standpoint;
- Support and justify your claim; and
- Draw conclusions and form a judgment.

6.5 How do I evaluate an argument?

- Question what the statement means.
- How did the person come to that conclusion?
- Why do you believe that your argument is right?
- What evidence do you have to substantiate your argument?
- What happens if you are wrong?
- Are there sources that disagree with you?
- Do you understand why they disagree with you?
- Why is your argument significant?
- Is your argument valid and true?

7. Concluding the essay

The conclusion is an integral part of the essay. It looks back on the points you have raised and reinforces, but does not repeat the main idea. It must create a feeling of closure of the argument, an ending to the debate.

7.1 What should I be careful of?

- End gracefully, never abruptly;
- End with a quick wrap-up sentence, a memorable thought, an interesting twist of logic, point to the future or have a call to action;
- Is there something that the reader can take away after reading?
- The conclusion must fit naturally with the essay;
- Don't introduce new information;
- Keep it short;
- Don't summarise your essay in the conclusion!

7.2 Questions to ask myself:

- Have I reviewed the key claim/main point of my essay?
- Have I briefly described my concluding feeling about the topic?
- Did I leave the reader with something to think about?
- How has the reader's mind been changed by following the logic of my argument and evidence?
- Did I connect back with the introduction?

8. Language editing

8.1 How can I ensure a smooth flow in my sentences?

- Add words to connect your sentences (see 8.2).
- Make sure that the paragraph is connected with a central point.
- Make sure that each sentence makes sense!
- Does each paragraph link with the topic?
- Revise the essay extensively. Write at least 3 drafts before you go to the final essay.

8.2 What transition words can help to link my sentences and paragraphs?

- However;
- Even though;
- On the other hand;
- Nevertheless;
- Therefore;
- Thus;
- As a result of;
- Consequently;
- In addition to;
- Furthermore; etc.

8.3 How do I do the final editing?

- Run a spelling and grammar check in your computer;
- Make sure each sentence has a subject;
- Make sure the subject and verb agrees with each other;
- Is the verb tenses of the essay consistent e.g. past tense;
- Be careful of mixing American and British spelling;
- Does the introduction and a conclusion link with each other?
- Does each paragraph follow the proper format?
- Is there any repetition?
- Have I replaced vagueness and ambiguities?
- Are there any emotional statements?
- Is the essay easy to understand?

8.4 Before I submit the essay, did I do the following?

- Read the essay many times;
- Get someone else to review my essay; and
- Encourage impartial criticism of my essay.

9. Referencing

Correct referencing in the essay and acknowledging the sources you used at the end of the essay is an important part of the technical detail. More importantly, we reference to avoid plagiarism!!

9.1 What do I include in my list of references?

- All the sources consulted;
- Only sources cited in the essay; and
- Information from tables, graphs or charts must be cited.

9.2 How do I reference correctly and consistently?

- Use the more widely known Harvard Method;
- Arrange sources alphabetically (author's name); and
- Don't number the sources in the reference section.

9.3 What should I be careful of?

- References cited in the essay, but not included in the bibliography;
- Incorrect spelling of authors, titles and publishers;
- No indication of editor/s in edited texts;
- Omission of subtitles of books, journal titles and journal articles;
- Confusion with italicization of books and titles of journals;
- Underlining instead of italicizing titles; and
- Date of downloading of internet citations omitted.

9.4 How do I use footnotes?

- Use a small raised number in the essay to signal a footnote;
- Indicate the full reference (as indicated in the examples below) at the foot of the page in which the source is cited;
- When you refer to a source for the second time, shorten the note by using only the author's surname and page number in the footnote (e.g. Singh, 321). Avoid the Latin *ibid.* ('in the same place'); and
- For web-pages, indicate the date you accessed the information.

(NB. It is not necessary to use footnotes in an essay. You can simply cite the reference in the essay and list it fully in the bibliography)

9.5 Examples of references

9.5.1 In the essay.

(Peters 2000:14) oraccording to Peters (2000:14)

9.5.2 In the Bibliography

a. Books

Stone, R. 1997. *The science of beadwork*. New York: Oxford.

b. Chapter in Book (with an editor, where each chapter has been written by a different author.

Chetty, K. 2001. The place of values in a world of facts. In Arries, C. (Ed). *The nature of knowledge*. London: Prentice-Hall: 124-139.

c. Journals

Johnson, S. 2006. Towards a Keynesian model of economics. *Economics Today*. 19:33-39, September.

d. Government Gazette

South Africa. Department of Trade and Industries. 2005. *The DOHA Agreement*. Pretoria: Government Gazette, 385 (18164): 1-96, July 28.

e. Internet

Stamp, G. 1997. Domains of Work. Review of Hoebeke's *Making Work Systems Better – A Practitioner's Reflections*.
www.bioss.mwebhosting.net/Phase 1. (6 Dec 2005)

www.dit.gov.za. opening the door to EU trade. (7 February 2006)

f. Interviews

Manual, T. 2006. Interview with the researcher on 4 March 2006, Cape Town.

g. Legislation promulgated by Parliament

South Africa. 1982. *Atomic Energy Act, No 92 of 1982*. Pretoria: Government Printer

h. Legislation promulgated by a government department

South Africa. Department of Finance. 2005. *Draft Policy on Value Added Tax*. Pretoria: Government Printer.

i. Newspaper article

Pop, C.A. 2003. Should privatization prosper? SAA in the year 2002. *Mail and Guardian*: 15, March 11.

- j. **Thesis**
Lombard, A. 2006. Economics of Teacher Education.
Unpublished MEd thesis, University of Cape Town.