

Local Government Supply Chain Management

Email: Letitia.Sallies@westerncape.gov.za
Tel: +27 021 483-3180 Fax: +086 425 1301

Reference: RCS/C.5

TREASURY CIRCULAR MUN NO.18//2017

```
THE MAYOR, CITY OF CAPE TOWN: MS P DE LILLE
THE MAYOR, WEST COAST DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY: MR JH CLEOPHAS
THE MAYOR, MATZIKAMA MUNICIPALITY: MS G STEPHAN
THE MAYOR, CEDERBERG MUNICIPALITY: MR J BARNARD
THE MAYOR, BERGRIVIER MUNICIPALITY: MR EB MANUEL
THE MAYOR, SALDANHA BAY MUNICIPALITY: MR M KOEN
THE MAYOR, SWARTLAND MUNICIPALITY: MR T VAN ESSEN
THE MAYOR, CAPE WINELANDS DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY: DR H VON SCHLICHT
THE MAYOR, WITZENBERG MUNICIPALITY: MR BC KLAASEN
THE MAYOR, DRAKENSTEIN MUNICIPALITY: MR CJ POOLE
THE MAYOR, STELLENBOSCH MUNICIPALITY: MS G VAN DEVENTER
THE MAYOR, BREEDE VALLEY MUNICIPALITY: MS A STEYN
THE MAYOR, LANGEBERG MUNICIPALITY: MR HM JANSEN
THE MAYOR, OVERBERG DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY: MR S FRANKEN
THE MAYOR, THEEWATERSKLOOF MUNICIPALITY: MS CJM VOSLOO
THE MAYOR, OVERSTRAND MUNICIPALITY: MR RJ SMITH
THE MAYOR, CAPE AGULHAS MUNICIPALITY: MR PJ SWART
THE MAYOR, SWELLENDAM MUNICIPALITY: MR NG MYBURGH
THE MAYOR, EDEN DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY: MR M BOOYSEN
THE MAYOR, KANNALAND MUNICIPALITY: MS M BARRY
THE MAYOR, HESSEQUA MUNICIPALITY: MR G RIDDELS
THE MAYOR, MOSSEL BAY MUNICIPALITY: MR HJ LEVENDAL
THE MAYOR, GEORGE MUNICIPALITY: MR MG NAIK
THE MAYOR, OUDTSHOORN MUNICIPALITY: MR CF SYLVESTER
THE MAYOR, BITOU MUNICIPALITY: MR MP LOBESE
THE MAYOR, KNYSNA MUNICIPALITY: MS E BOUW-SPIES
THE MAYOR, CENTRAL KAROO DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY: MR N CONSTABLE
THE MAYOR, LAINGSBURG MUNICIPALITY: MR AA MARTHINUS
THE MAYOR, PRINCE ALBERT MUNICIPALITY: MR G LOTTERING
THE MAYOR, BEAUFORT WEST MUNICIPALITY: MR J VAN DER LINDE
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, CITY OF CAPE TOWN: MR A EBRAHIM
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, WEST COAST DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY: MR H PRINS
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, MATZIKAMA MUNICIPALITY: MR DP LUBBE
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, CEDERBERG MUNICIPALITY: MR L VOLSCHENK (ACTING)
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, BERGRIVIER MUNICIPALITY: ADV H LINDE
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, SALDANHA BAY MUNICIPALITY: MR G SMITH (ACTING)
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, SWARTLAND MUNICIPALITY: MR J SCHOLTZ
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, CAPE WINELANDS DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY: MR M MGAJO
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, WITZENBERG MUNICIPALITY: MR D NASSON
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, DRAKENSTEIN MUNICIPALITY: DR J LEIBBRANDT
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, STELLENBOSCH MUNICIPALITY: MS G METLER
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, BREEDE VALLEY MUNICIPALITY: MR D MCTHOMAS
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, LANGEBERG MUNICIPALITY: MR SA MOKWENI
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, OVERBERG DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY: MR D BERETTI
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, THEEWATERSKLOOF MUNICIPALITY: MR J BARNARD (ACTING)
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, OVERSTRAND MUNICIPALITY: MR C GROENEWALD
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, CAPE AGULHAS MUNICIPALITY: MR D O'NEILL
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, SWELLENDAM MUNICIPALITY: MR CM AFRICA
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, EDEN DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY: MR M STRATU
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, KANNALAND MUNICIPALITY: MR R STEVENS
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, HESSEQUA MUNICIPALITY: MR J JACOBS
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, MOSSEL BAY MUNICIPALITY: ADV MG GILIOMEE
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, GEORGE MUNICIPALITY: MR T BOTHA
```

```
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, OUDTSHOORN MUNICIPALITY: MR R SMIT (ACTING)
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, BITOU MUNICIPALITY: MR T NDLOVU
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, KNYSNA MUNICIPALITY: MR K CHETTY
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, CENTRAL KAROO DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY: MR S JOOSTE
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, LAINGSBURG MUNICIPALITY: MR SC PIETERSE
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, PRINCE ALBERT MUNICIPALITY: MR H METTLER
THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER, BEAUFORT WEST MUNICIPALITY: MR K HAARHOFF (ACTING)
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, CITY OF CAPE TOWN: MR K JACOBY
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, WEST COAST DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY: MR J KOEKEMOER
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, MATZIKAMA MUNICIPALITY: MR G SEAS
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, CEDERBERG MUNICIPALITY: MR E ALFRED
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, BERGRIVIER MUNICIPALITY: MR G GOLIATH
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, SALDANHA BAY MUNICIPALITY: MR S VORSTER
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, SWARTLAND MUNICIPALITY: MR M BOLTON
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, CAPE WINELANDS DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY: MS FA DU RAAN-GROENEWALD
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, WITZENBERG MUNICIPALITY: MR C KRITZINGER
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, DRAKENSTEIN MUNICIPALITY: MR J CARSTENS
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, STELLENBOSCH MUNICIPALITY: MR M WÜST
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, BREEDE VALLEY MUNICIPALITY: MR R ONTONG (ACTING)
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, LANGEBERG MUNICIPALITY: MR B BROWN
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, OVERBERG DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY: DR J TESSELAAR
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, THEEWATERSKLOOF MUNICIPALITY: MR D LOUW
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, OVERSTRAND MUNICIPALITY: MS S REYNEKE-NAUDE
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, CAPE AGULHAS MUNICIPALITY: MR H VAN BILJON
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, SWELLENDAM MUNICIPALITY: MR H SCHLEBUSCH
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, EDEN DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY: MS L HOEK
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, KANNALAND MUNICIPALITY: MR B STRYDOM
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, HESSEQUA MUNICIPALITY: MS HJ VILJOEN
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, MOSSEL BAY MUNICIPALITY: MR L FOURIE (ACTING)
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, GEORGE MUNICIPALITY: MR K JORDAAN
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, OUDTSHOORN MUNICIPALITY: MR F LÖTTER
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, BITOU MUNICIPALITY: MR V MKHEFA
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, KNYSNA MUNICIPALITY: MR M MEMANI
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, CENTRAL KAROO DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY: MS U BAARTMAN
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, LAINGSBURG MUNICIPALITY: MS A GROENEWALD
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, PRINCE ALBERT MUNICIPALITY: MR J NEETHLING
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, BEAUFORT WEST MUNICIPALITY: MR CJ KYMDELL (ACTING)
THE HEAD OF SCM, CITY OF CAPE TOWN: MR B CHINASAMY
THE HEAD OF SCM, WEST COAST DISTRICT: MR M MARKUS
THE HEAD OF SCM, MATZIKAMA MUNICIPALITY: MR. C KOTZE (ACTING)
THE HEAD OF SCM, CEDERBERG MUNICIPALITY: MS J MAARMAN (ACTING)
THE HEAD OF SCM, BERGRIVIER MUNICIPALITY: MR. G GOLIATH (ACTING)
THE HEAD OF SCM, SALDANHA BAY MUNICIPALITY: MS H MEEDING
THE HEAD OF SCM, SWARTLAND MUNICIPALITY: MR P SWART
THE HEAD OF SCM, CAPE WINELANDS DISTRICT: MR GJP FALCK
THE HEAD OF SCM, WITZENBERG MUNICIPALITY: MR M FRIESLAAR
THE HEAD OF SCM, DRAKENSTEIN MUNICIPALITY: MR H VERGOTINE
THE HEAD OF SCM, STELLENBOSCH MUNICIPALITY: MR I SAUNDERS
THE HEAD OF SCM, BREEDE VALLEY MUNICIPALITY: MR M POTGIETER
THE HEAD OF SCM, LANGEBERG MUNICIPALITY: MR S NGCONGOLO (ACTING)
THE HEAD OF SCM, OVERBERG DISTRICT: MS D KAPOT-WITBOOI (ACTING)
THE HEAD OF SCM, THEEWATERSKLOOF MUNICIPALITY: MR A HENDRICKS
THE HEAD OF SCM, OVERSTRAND MUNICIPALITY: MR C LE ROUX
THE HEAD OF SCM, CAPE AGULHAS MUNICIPALITY: MR R SEFOOR
THE HEAD OF SCM, SWELLENDAM MUNICIPALITY: MR B BEYERS
THE HEAD OF SCM, EDEN DISTRICT: MR T MPURU
THE HEAD OF SCM. KANNALAND MUNICIPALITY: MR D LINKS
THE HEAD OF SCM, HESSEQUA MUNICIPALITY: MR R BENT
THE HEAD OF SCM, MOSSEL BAY MUNICIPALITY: MR D SCHOLTZ
THE HEAD OF SCM, GEORGE MUNICIPALITY: MR B GERICKE
THE HEAD OF SCM, OUDTSHOORN MUNICIPALITY: MR JC LADOUCE
THE HEAD OF SCM, BITOU MUNICIPALITY: MR P PETERS
THE HEAD OF SCM, KNYSNA MUNICIPALITY: MS F KRUGER
THE HEAD OF SCM, CENTRAL KAROO DISTRICT: MS A LENDERS (ACTING)
THE HEAD OF SCM, LAINGSBURG MUNICIPALITY: MR K GERTSE
THE HEAD OF SCM, PRINCE ALBERT MUNICIPALITY: MS C BAADTJIES (ACTING)
THE HEAD OF SCM, BEAUFORT WEST MUNICIPALITY: MS SA POTHBERG
THE HEAD OFFICIAL: PROVINCIAL TREASURY (MR Z HOOSAIN)
THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR GENERAL: FISCAL AND ECONOMIC SERVICES (MR H MALILA)
THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR GENERAL: GOVERNANCE AND ASSET MANAGEMENT (MR A HARDIEN)
THE CHIEF DIRECTOR: PUBLIC POLICY SERVICES (MS M KORSTEN)
THE CHIEF DIRECTOR: PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT PUBLIC FINANCE (MS JD GANTANA)
```

```
THE CHIEF DIRECTOR: LOCAL GOVERNMENT PUBLIC FINANCE (MR A DYAKALA) (ACTING)
THE CHIEF DIRECTOR: ASSET MANAGEMENT (MR IG SMITH)
THE CHIEF DIRECTOR: FINANCIAL GOVERNANCE AND ACCOUNTING (MR L BRINDERS) (ACTING)
THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER (MR A GILDENHUYS)
THE HEAD: OFFICE OF THE FINANCE MINISTRY (ADV E PRETORIUS)
THE DIRECTOR: BUSINESS INFORMATION AND DATA MANAGEMENT (MR PP PIENAAR)
THE DIRECTOR: FINANCIAL GOVERNANCE (MS M VAN NIEKERK) (ACTING)
THE DIRECTOR: FISCAL POLICY (DR N NLEYA)
THE DIRECTOR: INFRASTRUCTURE (MR K LANGENHOVEN)
THE DIRECTOR: LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTING (MRT MADONDILE) (ACTING)
THE DIRECTOR: LOCAL GOVERNMENT BUDGET OFFICE (MR ML BOOYSEN)
THE DIRECTOR: LOCAL GOVERNMENT REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE (GROUP ONE) (MR A DYAKALA)
THE DIRECTOR: LOCAL GOVERNMENT REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE (GROUP TWO) (MR M SIGABI)
THE DIRECTOR: LOCAL GOVERNMENT SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT (MR R MOOLMAN)
THE DIRECTOR: PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTING (MR A REDDY)
THE DIRECTOR: PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT BUDGET OFFICE (MS RH SLINGER)
THE DIRECTOR: PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT FINANCE (EXPENDITURE MANAGEMENT) (MS A PICK)
THE DIRECTOR: PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT (MS N EBRAHIM)
THE DIRECTOR: STRATEGIC AND OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT (MS A SMIT)
THE DIRECTOR: SUPPORTING AND INTERLINKED FINANCIAL SYSTEMS (MR A MAZOMBA)
```

THE PROVINCIAL AUDITOR

MASTER RECORDS OFFICIAL: BUSINESS INFORMATION AND DATA MANAGEMENT

THE HEAD OF DEPARTMENT: LOCAL GOVERNMENT

THE CHIEF DIRECTOR: LOCAL GOVERNMENT BUDGET ANALYSIS – NATIONAL TREASURY (MR J HATTINGH)

THE CHIEF DIRECTOR: MFMA IMPLEMENTATION - NATIONAL TREASURY (MR TV PILLAY)

RE: IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2017

1. PURPOSE

1.1 The purpose of this Provincial Treasury MFMA Circular is to inform all accounting officers of municipalities and municipal entities of the interim strategy to deal with the requirements of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2017 as well as the supply chain management governance requirements.

2. BACKGROUND

- 2.1 Section 217 of the constitution stipulates that national legislation must prescribe a framework within which a Preferential Procurement Policy may be implemented.
- 2.2 The Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act (PPPFA) No. 5 of 2000, enacts the constitutional provisions for a national framework for Preferential Procurement, it is the objective of the PPPFA to provide for categories of preferences in the allocation of contracts and the protection or advancement of persons disadvantaged by unfair discrimination.
- 2.3 The revised PPPFA Regulations, 2017 came into effect as from 1 April 2017 with a primary objective to provide a uniform legal instrument to direct government procurement towards black owned SMME's cooperatives, townships and rural enterprises.

2.4 The following are key changes compared to the 2011 PPPFA Regulations:

- a) The raising of the threshold for the application of the 80/20-point system from R1 million to R50 million;
- b) The introduction of pre-qualification criteria that targets black owned SMMEs cooperatives, townships and rural enterprises;
- c) The introduction of a negotiation process and a passing over provision as a corrective measure for procuring institutions to deal with potential distortion of market related prices as a result of the introduction of pre-qualification criteria; and
- d) The compulsory sub-contracting of at least 30 per cent of the value of contracts above R30 million to black owned SMMEs cooperatives, townships and rural enterprises.
- 2.5 The Provincial Treasury in conjunction with legal services and the Provincial and Local Municipal SCM focus group reviewed the PPPFA Regulations, 2017 and identified certain implementation challenges. These challenges were brought forward to Cabinet and were advised on implementation risks and the impact of the PPPFA Regulations, 2017 and a way forward was proposed.

3. INTERIM STRATEGY

- 3.1 Given the lack of guidance and clarity regarding the implementation of the below mentioned regulations, municipalities are advised if deemed necessary to implement the below mentioned inclusions:
 - a) Regulation 4 (prequalification criteria);
 - b) Regulation 6(9)(a) (c) and 7(9)(a) (c) (negotiation process); and
 - c) Regulation 9(1): see paragraph 5.3 below.
- 3.2 Revised bid documents 6.1 for utilisation attached herewith as Annexure "B" and "C" respectively.

3.3 Regulations 9(1) sub-contracting as a condition of tender:

a) Regulation 9 1 stipulates that "If feasible to sub-contract for a contract above R30 million, an organ of state must apply sub-contracting to advance designated groups."

- b) It is therefore evident that the requirement for making a decision whether or not to sub-contract/not is the question of "feasibility". It is therefore important that municipalities question whether or not sub-contracting is a feasible option within the intended procurement process and that feasibility testing must be done before the market has been engaged.
- c) The responsibility to determine whether it is feasible or not rests with the municipality preparing the tender. In order to arrive at the decision for feasibility, municipalities should conduct an assessment/feasibility study for procurement above R30 million or whichever amount is deemed appropriate, to determine the feasibility of sub-contracting as well as other empowerment objectives such as labour usage, skills identification and training and local supplier development, etc. as applicable.
- d) Should other empowerment objectives such as local labour usage, skills identification, training and local supplier development be targeted by a municipality this must be included in the specification requirements of the bid as well as evaluation criteria against which requirements will be evaluated.
- e) Should a municipality's own analysis indicate a feasibility for sub-contracting then the institution must include the following clause under 5.11 of its 6.1 bid document:

In terms of the institutions own empowerment impact assessment the institutions requires that% of the contract MUST be sub-contracted to (cite the category of designated grouping that the institution wishes to sub-contract to).

The tenderer must select a supplier/s from the list of suppliers registered on the CSD, the Municipality's own supplier database and or the WCSD for the required goods and services in respect of the applicable designated groups mentioned in 5.11 above.

f) Should institutions have not conducted a feasibility empowerment impact assessment then the sub-contracting requirements should not be applied in order to mitigate the risk of not attaining service delivery needs and value for money.

4. WAY FORWARD

4.1 The following further initiatives will be explored and implemented in the long-term:

- a) Roll-out of the framework agreement model for goods and services and investigate contractor development in the context of goods and services;
- b) Model for the rotation of suppliers;

c) Development of an Economic Procurement Policy; and

d) Development of a broader transformation policy.

5. TRANSITIONAL ARRANGEMENTS

5.1 If a tender was advertised/invited in terms of the evaluation criteria prescribed in

the Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2011 (prior to the date of coming into effect of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2017) but will only be evaluated and awarded after the date of coming into effect of the Preferential Procurement

Regulations, 2017, the tender must be evaluated and awarded in terms of the evaluation criteria prescribed in the Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2011

and in terms of the conditions contained in the bid documents.

5.2 Such a tender must be evaluated and awarded as soon as possible but not later than the initial expiry of the validity period of the tender. The extension of the

validity period of such a bid must not be allowed.

6. REQUEST

7.

6.1 The Accounting Officers of municipalities and municipal entities are hereby requested to disseminate the content of this Provincial Treasury Circular Mun to the attention of all relevant officials within their institutions.

NOTIFICATION TO THE AUDITOR-GENERAL

7.1 A copy of this Provincial Treasury Circular Mun will be forwarded to the

Auditor-General for notification.

8. CONTACT INFORMATION

8.1 Any further enquiries may also be directed to the Provincial Treasury SCM Helpdesk:

E-mail: SupplyChainManagement.HDMFMA@westerncape.gov.za.

Your co-operation will be highly appreciated.



MR SAKHUMZI MAYEKISO

ACTING DIRECTOR: LOCAL GOVERNMENT SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT

DATE: 14 July 2017

PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2017

This preference form must form part of all bids invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment (B-BBEE) Status Level of Contribution

NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, BIDDERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF B-BBEE, AS PRESCRIBED IN THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2017.

1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

- 1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to all bids:
 - the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and
 - the 90/10 system for requirements with a Rand value above R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included).

1.2

- a) The value of this bid is estimated to exceed/not exceed R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included) and therefore the ______ preference point system shall be applicable; or
- b) Either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will be applicable to this tender (delete whichever is not applicable for this tender).
- 1.3 Points for this bid shall be awarded for:
 - (a) Price; and
 - (b) B-BBEE Status Level of Contributor.
- 1.4 The maximum points for this bid are allocated as follows:

	POINTS
PRICE	
B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL OF CONTRIBUTOR	
Total points for Price and B-BBEE must not exceed	100

- 1.5 Failure on the part of a bidder to submit proof of B-BBEE Status level of contributor together with the bid, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for B-BBEE status level of contribution are not claimed.
- 1.6 The purchaser reserves the right to require of a bidder, either before a bid is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any manner required by the purchaser.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- (a) "B-BBEE" means broad-based black economic empowerment as defined in section 1 of the Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment Act;
- (b) "B-BBEE status level of contributor" means the B-BBEE status of an entity in terms of a code of good practice on black economic empowerment, issued in terms of section 9(1) of the Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment Act;
- (c) "bid" means a written offer in a prescribed or stipulated form in response to an invitation by an organ of state for the provision of goods or services, through price quotations, advertised competitive bidding processes or proposals;
- (d) "Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment Act" means the Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment Act, 2003 (Act No. 53 of 2003);
- (e) "EME" means an Exempted Micro Enterprise in terms of a code of good practice on black economic empowerment issued in terms of section 9 (1) of the Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment Act;
- (f) "functionality" means the ability of a tenderer to provide goods or services in accordance with specifications as set out in the tender documents.
- (g) "prices" includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (h) "proof of B-BBEE status level of contributor" means:
 - 1) B-BBEE Status level certificate issued by an authorized body or person;
 - 2) A sworn affidavit as prescribed by the B-BBEE Codes of Good Practice;
 - 3) Any other requirement prescribed in terms of the B-BBEE Act;
- (i) "QSE" means a qualifying small business enterprise in terms of a code of good practice on black economic empowerment issued in terms of section 9 (1) of the Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment Act;
- (j) "rand value" means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;

3. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

3.1 THE 80/20 OR 90/10 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20 or 90/1

$$Ps = 80\left(1 - \frac{Pt - P\min}{P\min}\right)$$
 or $Ps = 90\left(1 - \frac{Pt - P\min}{P\min}\right)$

Where

Ps = Points scored for price of bid under consideration

Pt = Price of bid under consideration

Pmin = Price of lowest acceptable bid

4. POINTS AWARDED FOR B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL OF CONTRIBUTOR

4.1 In terms of Regulation 6 (2) and 7 (2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded to a bidder for attaining the B-BBEE status level of contribution in accordance with the table below:

B-BBEE Status Level of Contributor	Number of points (90/10 system)	Number of points (80/20 system)
1	10	20
2	9	18
3	6	14
4	5	12
5	4	8
6	3	6
7	2	4
8	1	2
Non-compliant contributor	0	0

5. BID DE	CLAI	RATI	ON
-----------	------	------	----

6.

5.1 Bidders who claim points in respect of B-BBEE Status Level of Contribution must complete the following:

B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL OF CONTRIBUTOR CLAIMED IN TERMS OF

	PARAGRAPHS 1.4 AND 4.1
6.1	B-BBEE Status Level of Contributor: =(maximum of 10 or 20 points)
	(Points claimed in respect of paragraph 7.1 must be in accordance with the table reflected in paragraph 4.1 and must be substantiated by relevant proof of B-BBEE status level of contributor.

7. SUB-CONTRACTING

7.1 Will any portion of the contract be sub-contracted?

(Tick applicable box)

YES	NO	

7.1.1	lf yes,	ind	icate:
	,,		.oa.o.

I)	vvhat	percentage	of	the	contract	Will	be
	subcontr	acted		%			
ii)	The nam	e of the sub-contrac	tor				
iii)	The B-BE	BEE status level of t	he sub-co	ntractor			
iv)	Whether	the sub-contractor i	s an EME	or QSE			
-	(Tick ap	plicable box)					
	VEC	NO					

v) Specify, by ticking the appropriate box, if subcontracting with an enterprise in terms of Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2017:

Designated Group: An EME or QSE which is at last 51% owned		QSE
by:	V	V
Black people		
Black people who are youth		
Black people who are women		
Black people with disabilities		
Black people living in rural or underdeveloped areas or townships		
Cooperative owned by black people		

Black people who are military veterans	
OR	
Any EME	
Any QSE	

DEC	LARATION WIT	TH REGARD TO C	OMPANY/FIRM		
Naı	me				of
cor	npany/firm:				
VA	-				registration
nur	nber:				
	mpany				registration
nur	nber:				
TY	PE OF COMPA	NY/ FIRM			
 Tic	•				
DE	SCRIBE PRINC	IPAL BUSINESS	ACTIVITIES		
CO	 MPANY CLASS	SIFICATION			
		service provider providers, e.g. tra	nsporter, etc.		
MU	NICIPAL INFORI	MATION			
Mu	nicipality	where	business	is	situated:
Re		ınt Number:			
Tot	al number of yea	ars the company/fir	m has been in busin	ess:	
cor cor	mpany/firm, cert	tify that the points din paragraphs 1.	re duly authorised of claimed, based of 4 and 6.1 of the fore hown and I / we ack	n the B-BBE s going certificat	status level of e, qualifies the

- i) The information furnished is true and correct;
- ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form;
- iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 6.1, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the purchaser that the claims are correct;
- iv) If the B-BBEE status level of contributor has been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the purchaser may, in addition to any other remedy it may have
 - (a) disqualify the person from the bidding process;
 - (b) recover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
 - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation:
 - (d) recommend that the bidder or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted by the National Treasury from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the *audi alteram partem* (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and
 - (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution.

WITNESSES		
1		NATURE(S) OF BIDDERS(S)
2	DATE:	
	ADDRESS	

DECLARATION CERTIFICATE FOR LOCAL PRODUCTION AND CONTENT FOR DESIGNATED SECTORS

This Municipal Bidding Document (MBD) must form part of all bids invited. It contains general information and serves as a declaration form for local content (local production and local content are used interchangeably).

Before completing this declaration, bidders must study the General Conditions, Definitions, Directives applicable in respect of Local Content as prescribed in the Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2017, the South African Bureau of Standards (SABS) approved technical specification number SATS 1286:2011 (Edition 1) and the Guidance on the Calculation of Local Content together with the Local Content Declaration Templates [Annex C (Local Content Declaration: Supporting Schedule to Annex C) and E (Local Content Declaration: Supporting Schedule to Annex C)].

1. General Conditions

- 1.1. Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2017 (Regulation 8) make provision for the promotion of local production and content.
- 1.2. Regulation 8.(2) prescribes that in the case of designated sectors, organs of state must advertise such tenders with the specific bidding condition that only locally produced or manufactured goods, with a stipulated minimum threshold for local production and content will be considered.
- 1.3. Where necessary, for tenders referred to in paragraph 1.2 above, a two stage bidding process may be followed, where the first stage involves a minimum threshold for local production and content and the second stage price and B-BBEE.
- 1.4. A person awarded a contract in relation to a designated sector, may not sub-contract in such a manner that the local production and content of the overall value of the contract is reduced to below the stipulated minimum threshold.
- 1.5. The local content (LC) expressed as a percentage of the bid price must be calculated in accordance with the SABS approved technical specification number SATS 1286: 2011 as follows:

$$LC = [1 - x / y] * 100$$

Where

- x is the imported content in Rand
- y is the bid price in Rand excluding value added tax (VAT)

Prices referred to in the determination of x must be converted to Rand (ZAR) by using the exchange rate published by South African Reserve Bank (SARB) at 12:00 on the date of advertisement of the bid as indicated in paragraph 4.1 below.

The SABS approved technical specification number SATS 1286:2011 is accessible on http://www.thedti.gov.za/industrial development/ip.jsp at no cost.

1.		laration Certificate and the Annex C (Local Content not submitted as part of the bid documentation;
2.	The stipulated minimum threshold(s A of SATS 1286:2011) for this bid is	s) for local production and content (refer to Annex /are as follows:
	Description of services, works or good	s Stipulated minimum threshold
		%
		%
		%
3.	Does any portion of the goods or ser have any imported content? (Tick applicable box)	rvices offered
3.1	If yes, the rate(s) of exchange to be prescribed in paragraph 1.5 of the g	e used in this bid to calculate the local content as eneral conditions must be the rate(s) published by 2:00 on the date of advertisement of the bid.
	· ·	rmation is accessible on www.reservebank.co.za sinst the appropriate currency in the table below 1):
	Currency US Dollar	Rates of exchange
	Pound Sterling	
	Euro	
	Yen	
	Other	
	NB: Bidders must submit proof of the	e SARB rate (s) of exchange used.
4.		allenges are experienced in meeting the stipulated edti must be informed accordingly in order for the dti D/AA provide directives in this regard.
		TENT DECLARATION EX B OF SATS 1286:2011)
E)	GALLY RESPONSIBLE PERSON I	CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OR OTHER NOMINATED IN WRITING BY THE CHIEF SON WITH MANAGEMENT RESPONSIBILITY P OR INDIVIDUAL)
IN	RESPECT OF BID NO	

ISSUED BY : (Procurement Authority / Name of Institution):			
NB			

- The obligation to complete, duly sign and submit this declaration cannot be transferred to an external authorized representative, auditor or any other third party acting on behalf of the bidder.
- Guidance on the Calculation of Local Content together with Local Content Declaration Templates (Annex C, D and E) is accessible on http://www.thdti.gov.za/industrial_development/ip.jsp. Bidders should first complete Declaration D. After completing Declaration D, bidders should complete Declaration E and then consolidate the information on Declaration C. Declaration C should be submitted with the bid documentation at the closing date and time of the bid in order to substantiate the declaration made in paragraph (c) below. Declarations D and E should be kept by the bidders for verification purposes for a period of at least 5 years. The successful bidder is required to continuously update Declarations C, D and E with the actual values for the duration of the contract.

I, the undersigned,	(ful	ll na	ımes).
do hereby declare, in my capacity as			,,
of(biddeı
entity), the following:			

- (a) The facts contained herein are within my own personal knowledge.
- (b) I have satisfied myself that:
 - (i) the goods/services/works to be delivered in terms of the above-specified bid comply with the minimum local content requirements as specified in the bid, and as measured in terms of SATS 1286:2011; and
- (c) The local content percentage (%) indicated below has been calculated using the formula given in clause 3 of SATS 1286:2011, the rates of exchange indicated in paragraph 4.1 above and the information contained in Declaration D and E which has been consolidated in Declaration C:

Bid price, excluding VAT (y)	R
Imported content (x), as calculated in terms of SATS 1286:2011	R
Stipulated minimum threshold for local content (paragraph 3 above)	
Local content %, as calculated in terms of SATS 1286:2011	

If the bid is for more than one product, the local content percentages for each product contained in Declaration C shall be used instead of the table above.

The local content percentages for each product has been calculated using the formula given in clause 3 of SATS 1286:2011, the rates of exchange indicated in paragraph 4.1 above and the information contained in Declaration D and E.

- (d) I accept that the Procurement Authority / Institution has the right to request that the local content be verified in terms of the requirements of SATS 1286:2011.
- (e) I understand that the awarding of the bid is dependent on the accuracy of the information furnished in this application. I also understand that the submission of incorrect data, or data that are not verifiable as described in SATS 1286:2011, may result in the Procurement Authority / Institution imposing any or all of the remedies as provided for in Regulation 14 of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2017

promulgated under the Preferential of 2000).	Policy Framework Act (PPPFA), 2000 (Act No. 5
SIGNATURE:	DATE:
WITNESS No. 1	DATE:
WITNESS No. 2	DATE: