



**MEETING OF HERITAGE WESTERN
CAPE,
INVENTORIES, GRADING AND INTERPRETATION COMMITTEE**
**Approved Minutes of a meeting of the Inventories, Grading and Interpretation
Committee (IGIC) of Heritage Western Cape (HWC) held on 7 August 2020, at
09H00 via Microsoft Teams**

1. Opening and Welcoming

The Chairperson, Mr Ron Martin opened the meeting at 08:50 and welcomed everyone present.

2. Attendance

IGIC Committee

Mr Stefan de Kock (Sdk)
Ms Vuyiseka Myakala (VM)
Dr Bongani Ndhlovu (BN)
Ms Jenna Lavin (JL)
Ms Maureen Wolters (MW)
Mr Ron Martin (RM)

HWC Staff

Mr Olwethu Dlova (OD)
Mr Jonathan Windvogel (JW)
Ms Colette Scheermeyer (CS)
Ms Nuraan Vallie (NV)
Ms Nosiphiwo Tafeni (NT)
Ms Nokubonga Dlamini (ND)
Ms Sandisiwe Matole (SM)
Dr Mxolisi Dlamuka (DM)
Ms Cathy-Ann Potgieter (CAP)
Ms Waseefa Dhansay (WD)

Visitors

Mr Chris Willemse
Mr Stephen Townsend
Vimtha Rajbansi
Mr David Hart
Mr Sihle Jonas
Mr James Kotze
Mr. R.O. Rode
Ms Charlene Houston
Judge Albie Sachs
Bridget O'Donoghue
Mr David Whitelaw
Josie
Ms Leah Wilson
Mr Malcolm Campbell
Wilfred Schrevian Evan Solomons-
Adrian E Jonker

Tamar Shem-Tov
Jacky Poking
Quahnita Samie
Cedric Daniels,
Denis Joseph
Dr. Dan Sleight
Gary Stewart
Malcolm Campbell
Lynette Biyela
Geoffrey Mamputa
Nadine Cloete
Angus Mckenzie
Rashid Adams
Mr Enolium Joseph
Mr Johannes
Alderman Marian

Nieuwoudt,Ludwig Johannes
Sihle Jonas
Marc Turok
Dr. Dan Sleight
Tauriq Jenkins
Mr. R.O. Rode
Marshallene Harris
Patrick Dowling
David Whitelaw
Christiana Groenewoud
Natalie Oakley
Lou Rottanburg

Chris Jonker
Ashton Mouton
Leslie London
Nicholas Smith
Karin Dugmore Strom
Nigel M HAUPT
Kirstin Mackenzie
Kwape Mmela
David Gibbs
Mariagrazia Galimberti
Ashley Lillie

3. Apologies

None

Absent

None

4. Approval of the agenda dated 7 August 2020

The Committee resolved to approve the agenda dated 7 August 2020.

5. Approval of the previous minutes dated 7 February 2020

The Committee resolved to approve the minutes dated 7 February 2020 with amendments.

6. Disclosure of Interest

- JL: item 12.1

7. Confidential matters

7.1 None

8. Appointments

8.1 None

9. Administrative Matters

9.1 None

10. Policy matters

10.1 None

11. Surveys

11.1 None

12. Re-grading of previous National Monuments

12.1 Erf 548, 22 Kloof Road, Clifton

JL recused herself.

Mr Jonathan Windvogel introduced the item.

Mr Chris Willemse, Mr David Hart, Ms Natalie Oakley, Mr Ashley Lillie, Lou Rottanburg and Kwape Mmela was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION:

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- Significance of retaining erf 548 as a heritage site.
- Legal procedure to be followed in the de-proclamation process.
- Costs associated with de-proclamation will be the applicant's responsibility.

RECOMMENDATION:

1. The Committee supports the proposal for the de-proclamation of that portion of Erf 548 that was declared a National Monument paragraph 7 of notice 1091 dated 24 May 1991. This recommendation to be tabled at the next Council meeting together with the legal procedure to be followed.
2. Any cost related to such de-proclamation shall be for the account of the applicant.

JW

13. Proposed Provisional Protection

13.1 Erven 2838 and 3032, 150 Buitengracht, Bo-Kaap

Mr Jonathan Windvogel introduced the item.

Tamar Shem-Tov, Mr David Hart, Dr Stephen Townsend, Ms Jacky Poking, Vimtha Rajbansi, Ms Quahnita Samie and Mr Nicolas Smith were present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION:

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The interrelation between 148 and 150 Buitengracht and the intrinsic heritage narrative of both sites to the history of Bo-Kaap.
- The original approval of new plans subject to no deviations. New plans were submitted but were not approved. The original plans have been resubmitted.
- Concern was raised that implementation of these original approved plans will materially impact upon the heritage significance of the neighbouring site, Erf 2838 and the surrounding area of the Bo-Kaap.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the proposal to initiate the process for provisionally protected Erven 3032, 150 Buitengracht Street in terms of S29 of the NHRA be supported.

JW

14. Proposed nominations of Provincial Heritage Sites**14.1 Princess Vlei, Grassy Park**

Mr Jonathan Windvogel introduced the item.

Mr Cedric Daniels, Mr Sihle Jonas, Mr David Hart, Mr Denis Joseph, Mr James Kotze, MS Quahnita Samie Dr. Dan Sleigh, Mr. R.O. Rode, Mr Gary Stewart, Ms Charlene Houston, Mr Malcolm Campbell and Mr David Whitelaw was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION:

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- Concern was raised regarding the provenance of the Legend of the Princess of Princess Vlei, however it was noted that this is satisfactorily dealt with in the Statement of Significance in the Draft Gazette Notice
- It was broadly acknowledged that Princess Vlei played a significant role in building and retaining community spirit during forced segregation and the Group Areas Act
- As a result, Princess Vlei holds high levels of significance for various communities in Cape Town.
- The heritage significance of Princess Vlei is embedded in four key themes, namely cultural, spiritual, recreational and environmental significance.
- Concern was raised at the inclusion of the term “evolution of the Khoi and San” in the Draft Gazette Notice. The Gazette Notice was amended to read “Princess Vlei is a significant natural heritage resource that has high historical social value as it represents the living expression of the Khoi and San from past to present.”

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. That the declaration of Princess Vlei be supported.
2. The HWC IGIC recommends to the HWC Council that Princess Vlei be declared as a Provincial Heritage Site.
3. That HWC IGIC approves the Gazette Notice as was tabled at this meeting.
4. HWC engages with CoCT as well as I&APs with regards to the formulation of CMP as soon as possible.

JW

14.2 Langa Pass Office, Langa (Washington Square linked to the March)

Mr Jonathan Windvogel introduced the item.

Judge Albie Sachs, Ms Lynette Biyela, Mr David Hart, Ms Bridget O'Donoghue, Ms Quahnita Samie and Mr Geoffrey Mamputa was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION:

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The nomination of this site has broad support
- There were no objections to the nomination received however the CoCT (landowner) indicated that in order to mitigate the need for unnecessary maintenance permits, they request that a list of activities excluded from the provisions of S27(18) be urgently agreed to by HWC Council and that this list should be included in the CMP to be developed for this site in terms of S48(3) of the NHRA.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. The Declaration of Langa Post Office, Langa (Washington Square linked to the March) as a PHS is supported.
2. HWC IGIC recommends the approval of Langa Post Office, Langa (Washington Square linked to the March) liberation sites as a PHS to HWC Council.
3. HWC IGIC supports the draft gazette notice pertaining to the Langa Post Office, Langa (Washington Square linked to the March) and that the draft gazette notice be submitted to HWC Council for approval.
4. HWC must engage with the CoCT to formulate CMP as soon as possible.
5. Langa Post Office, Langa (Washington Square linked to the March) site is to be recommended by HWC for inclusion to the National Resistance and Liberation Heritage Route.
6. Langa Post Office, Langa (Washington Square linked to the March) site should have a plaque containing the narrative determining its relevance as a liberation heritage site.
7. It is recommended that HWC urgently engage with CoCT in terms of S48(3).

JW

14.3 Freedom Square, Bonteheuwel

Mr Jonathan Windvogel introduced the item.

Ms Nadine Cloete, Josie, Mr David Hart, Ms Angus Mckenzie, Ms Quahnita Samie and Ms Leah Wilson was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION:

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The nomination of this site has broad support
- There were no objections to the nomination received however the CoCT (landowner) indicated that in order to mitigate the need for unnecessary maintenance permits, they request that a list

of activities excluded from the provisions of S27(18) be urgently agreed to by HWC Council and that this list should be included in the CMP to be developed for this site in terms of S48(3) of the NHRA.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. The Declaration of Freedom Square, Bonteheuwel as a PHS is supported.
2. HWC IGIC recommends the approval of Freedom Square, Bonteheuwel liberation sites as a PHS to HWC Council.
3. HWC IGIC supports the draft gazette notice for Freedom Square, Bonteheuwel and that the draft gazette notice be submitted to HWC Council for approval.
4. HWC must engage CoCT to formulate CMP as soon as possible.
5. Freedom Square, Bonteheuwel site is to be recommended by HWC for inclusion to the National Resistance and Liberation Heritage Route.
6. Freedom Square, Bonteheuwel site should have a plaque containing the narrative determining its relevance as a liberation heritage site.
7. It is recommended that HWC urgently engage with CoCT in terms of S48(3).

JW

14.4 Trojan Horse, Thornton Road, Athlone

Mr Jonathan Windvogel introduced the item.

Mr Rashid Adams, Mr David Hart, Ms Quahnita Samie, Ms Leah Wilson, Mr Malcolm Campbell was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION:

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The significant role played by Athlone in general and Thornton Road specifically during the struggle against Apartheid is clear
- Many more sites exist within the broader Athlone area that warrant investigation in terms of their heritage significance, specifically pertaining to the struggle against apartheid
- The description of the area proposed for formal protection in the gazette notice is vague and requires clarification and further detail in order to avoid confusion at a later stage

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The matter is postponed to the next IGIC meeting pending receipt of clarification of the schedule demarcating the proposed boundaries.

JW

14.5 Mamre Mk Site

Mr Jonathan Windvogel introduced the item.

Judge Albie Sachs, Mr Enolium Joseph, Mr Wilfred Schrevian Evan Solomons-Johannes, Mr David Hart, Mr Adrian E Jonker, Ms Quahnita Samie, Ms Alderman Marian Nieuwoudt, Ludwig

Johannes ,Mr Chris Jonker, Ms Christiana Groenewoud was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION:

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- Although the landowner represented by the Mamre Communal Property Association (MCPA) has previously indicated support for the nomination, at this meeting the representative of the MCPA indicated that their support would be withdrawn unless the significance of the site was amended to refer only to the heritage of the Khoe and San, and Mamre community and to exclude any reference to the use of the site by the MK.
- Section 27(11) outlines a process to follow for the declaration of a Provincial Heritage Site when the landowner is not in support of the nomination, however it is preferable to have landowner support for a nomination
- Mamre Mission Station and Watermill are already declared as Provincial Heritage Sites
- The layered nature of the heritage resources at this site enhance its significance

RECOMMENDATIONS:

That the matter be referred back to HWC and that HWC discuss this matter with the MCPA as to the way forward in terms of the S27 process and the significance of the site.

JW

14.6 Gugulethu 7 Memorial, Gugulethu

Mr Jonathan Windvogel introduced the item.

Ms Sharon Manata, Mr David Hart, Ms Lynette Biyela, Mr Mandla Mbothwe and Ms Quahnita Samie were present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION:

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The nomination of this site has broad support
- There were no objections to the nomination received however the CoCT (landowner) indicated that in order to mitigate the need for unnecessary maintenance permits, they request that a list of activities excluded from the provisions of S27(18) be urgently agreed to by HWC Council and that this list should be included in the CMP to be developed for this site in terms of S48(3) of the NHRA.
- The description of the area proposed for formal protection in the gazette notice is vague and requires clarification and further detail in order to avoid confusion at a later stage
- The draft Statement of Significance included in the draft gazette notice is vague and lacks detail.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The matter is postponed for decisions via email pending receipt of clarification of the schedule demarcating the proposed boundaries as well as a more detailed statement of significance.

JW

14.7 Two Rivers Urban Park, Observatory

RM recused himself. The Committee nominated JL to chair this item.

Mr Jonathan Windvogel introduced the item.

Mr Sihle Jonas, Mr David Hart, Mr Ashton Mouton, Mr Marc Turok, Ms Leslie London, Dr. Dan Sleigh, Mr Nicholas Smith, Mr Tauriq Jenkins, Mr. R.O. Rode, Mr Nigel M HAUPT, Marshallene Harris, Mr Kirstin Mackenzie, Mr Patrick Dowling, Mr David Whitelaw and David Gibbs were present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION:

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- This application is for the nomination of the Two Rivers Urban Park (TRUP) area, located at the confluence of the Black and Liesbeek Rivers, as a Provincial Heritage Site in terms of S27(3) of the NHRA
- The nomination has received support from a large number of conservation bodies and community groups
- The area proposed for nomination is presently subject to a process in terms of section 38(8) of the NHRA which includes the drafting of a Heritage Impact Assessment which must assess the heritage significance of an area proposed for development, among other things.
- HWC has not yet proceeded with the requirements of S27(8)(d) of the NHRA and no landowners have yet been provided with the opportunity to make submissions in this regard

COMMENT:

There is currently an HIA in terms of Section 38(8) of the NHRA being undertaken to identify the heritage significances of the TRUP area. Therefore, it is recommended that the proposed Provincial Heritage Site nomination of the TRUP area be temporarily postponed until such time as the HIA Section 38(8) process has been concluded ie. until such time as the Impact Assessment Committee of HWC has issued a Final Comment on the matter in terms of section 38(8) of the NHRA.

This will allow HWC to determine the extent of the heritage significance of the TRUP area and establish the potential boundaries to be protected as part of the proposed PHS.

JW

14.8 Erf 2838, 148 Buitengracht, Bo-Kaap

Mr Jonathan Windvogel introduced the item.

Tamar Shem-Tov, Mr David Hart, Dr Stephen Townsend, Ms Jacky Poking, Vimtha Rajbansi and Ms Quahnita Samie were present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION:

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The heritage significance of the structure on erf 2838 is clearly articulated and warrants a grade II grading
- Additional photographic evidence in terms of the existing structure and its context is required

RECOMMENDATION:

The Committee recommends that the process of declaring the Rem of 2838, 148 Buitengracht Street, Bo-Kaap as a Provincial Heritage Site in terms of S27(8) of NHRA be initiated.

The Committee requests that the additional information in the form of further imagery of the built form and its context be submitted to HWC for consideration by the IGIC. The 60 days public participation process will be initiated.

JW

15. Other Matters

15.1 Diepkloof Rock Shelter

Mr Jonathan Windvogel introduced the item.

Ms Mariagrazia Galimberti was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION:

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- This application is for the declaration of the area around the Diepkloof Rock Shelter PHS as a Protected Area in terms of S28 of the NHRA
- The IGIC supports the protection of this area using S28 of the NHRA
- This specific protection mechanism in the NHRA has never been used before and as such, this is a new process
- S28(3) of the NHRA states that "No person may damage, disfigure, alter, subdivide or in any other way develop any part of a protected area, unless, at least 60 days prior to the initiation of such changes, he or she has consulted the heritage resources authority which designated such an area in accordance with a procedure prescribed by that authority." (emphasis added)
- As yet, no such process has been prescribed by HWC through any regulation and as such, IGIC is unclear in terms of what process must be followed for the formal protection of this area in terms of S28.

DECISION:

IGIC supports the formal protection of this area as a protected area in terms of S28 of the NHRA but requires clarity from HWC's legal advisor regarding the process to be followed.

JW

16. Adoption of Resolutions and Decisions

17. Date of next meeting:

18. CLOSURE: 17: 30

MINUTES APPROVED AND SIGNED BY:

CHAIRPERSON _____ **DATE** _____

SECRETARY _____ **DATE** _____