

**APPROVED MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF
HERITAGE WESTERN CAPE,
HERITAGE OFFICERS MEETING (HOMS)
Held on Monday, 27 July, HOMS MEETING VIA MICROSOFT TEAMS, scheduled
for 09:00**

1. OPENING AND WELCOME

The Chairperson, Ms Colette Scheermeyer, officially opened the meeting at 09:00 and welcomed everyone present.

2. ATTENDANCE

Members of HOMS Committee

Ms Laura Milandri (LM)
Mr Petter Buttgens (PB)
Ms Nosiphiwo Tefeni (NT) (secretary)
Mr Thando Zingange (TZ)
Ms Sandisiwe Matole (SM)
Ms Aneeqah Brown (AB)
Mr Olwethu Dlova (OD)
Ms Colette Scheermeyer (CSc)
Ms Penelope Meyer (PM)
Ms Nokubonga Dlamini (ND)
Ms Cathy-Ann Potgieter (CP)
Ms Stephanie Barnardt (SB)
Ms Khanyisile Bonile (KB)
Ms Waseefa Dhansay (WD)

Visitors

Mr James Ricketts

Observers

None

3. APOLOGIES

None

Absent

None

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

SB and LM

4.1 Dated 27 July 2020.

The items relevant to the meeting were noted as those assigned in the case allocation for the meeting of 27 July 2020. It was agreed under ongoing matters the cases for KB and new matters for KB would be dealt with later in the morning as KB would be joining the meeting later due to an appointment. The items for Wupperthal would be moved to the start of the agenda under new matters as LM recused herself for these items and to ensure PB would be available to comment on these application as he would be leaving the meeting sometime after 11h30. The agenda was approved with the proposed amendments to order.

5 APPROVAL OF MINUTES AND MATTERS ARISING FROM PREVIOUS MEETING

5.1 N/A

6. DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST

6.1 LM: 12.1-12.5 (Wupperthal)

7. CONFIDENTIAL MATTERS

7.1 None

7 Confidential Matters

7.1 None

8. Site inspections

8.1 Proposed Site Inspection

8.1.1 None

8.2 Site Inspection Reports

8.2.1 None

9 Administrative Matters

9.1 None

10. Other Committee Referrals

11. Appointments

11.1 Item 11.4

MATTERS TO BE DISCUSSED

11. Matters Arising

11.1 Proposed Alterations and Additions, Erf 479, 94 Main Road, Greyton: Section 34 HM/OVERBERG/ GREYTON/ERF 479

Case No: 19090603KB0630E

KB introduced the item

COMMENT

Under investigation to ascertain if the roof is being replaced.

KB

11.2 Proposed Alterations and Additions, Erf 1641, 21 Bellair Road, Vredehoek: Section 34 HM/CAPE TOWN METROPOLITAN/ VREDEHOEK/ERF 1641

Case No: 15032718KR0330E

KB introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The building is graded a IIIB building
- The site is located within the HPOz
- CoCT and GVHAG supports the proposal.

COMMENT:

The application is incomplete as CIBRA comments are still outstanding.

KB

11.3 Proposed Alterations and Additions, Erf 26340, 8 Ivy Street, Observatory: Section 34 HM/ CAPE TOWN METROPOLITAN/ OBSERVATORY/ ERF 26340

Case No: 20061904KB0622E

KB introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The building is graded a IIIC building
- The site is located inside the HPO
- CoCT and OCA supports the proposal.

COMMENT

Under investigation. Case officer to clarify if work has started on site and the detail of work completed.

KB

**11.4 Proposed Total Demolition, Erf 10782, 9 Banks Road, Fish Hoek: Section 34
HM/ CAPE TOWN METROPOLITAN/ FISH HOEK/ERF 10782**

Case No: 20062207ND0706E

ND introduced the item

Mr James Ricketts, representative of the Fish Hoek Valley Ratepayers & Residents Association (FHVRRRA) was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The site is graded a NCW building.
- The site is located outside a HPO
- CoCT supports the proposal.
- FHVRRRA objects to the proposed replacement building.
- HOMS is of the opinion the building is not a rare example of its type nor does it contribute to its immediate context in respect of heritage.

DECISION

The committee resolved to approve the application as the building is not significant to warrant retention.

ND

**11.5 Proposed Alterations and Additions, Erf 20262, 1 Rue de Jacqueline Street, Somerset West: Section 34
HM/ CAPE TOWN METROPOLITAN/ SOMERSET WEST/ERF 20262**

Case No: 20063004ND701E

ND introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The building is graded NCW
- The site is outside a HPO
- The CoCT supports the proposal.
- Helderberg Renaissance Foundation objects to the proposal.

DECISION

The committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal will not impact a heritage resource.

ND

**11.6 Proposed Alterations and Additions, Erf 64146, 30 Salisbury Road, Kenilworth: Section 34
HM/ CAPE TOWN METROPOLITAN/ KENILWORTH/ERF 64146**

Case No: 20062602SM0702E

SM introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The building is graded a IIIC building
- The site is located inside HPO
- CoCT supports the proposal.

DECISION

The committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal will not negatively impact the heritage resource.

SM

11.7 Proposed Alterations and Additions, Erf 232, 22 Vigne Lane, Greyton: Stection 34

HM/OVERBERG/GREYTON/ERF 323

Case No: 20030607SM0619E

TZ introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The building is graded IIIC;
- The site is located outside a HPO;
- Ward 2 Forum supports the proposal;
- HOMs notes illegal work on site and noted HWC does not condone illegal work.

DECISION

The committee resolved to issue a S.51 letter with a condition that all new timber windows and doors to be date stamped retrospectively.

TZ

12 New Matters

12.1 Proposed Remedial Work for Wupperthal Village, No.1 Tra Tra Street, Wupperthal: Stection 31

HM/ WEST COAST/ CEDERBERG/ WUPPERTHAL/ FARM 168

LM recused herself.

WD introduced the item and noted the overall process of rebuildin after Wupperthal undertaken by Cifa was approved by IACom and it is the first sets of plans for rebuilding that was put forward at HOMs by Cifa for approval. The HOMs committee were asked to look at the applications (1-5) and to

approve(1) the specification in terms of methodology and types of materiality to be used for the rebuilding and (2) approval of the building plans for buildings 1-5 in Tra Tra Street, Wupperthal.

Case No: 20071701WD0720E

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The Homs agreed the specifications as presented by Cifa were thorough and appropriate in that the building still retain much of their physical presence with the proposed remedial work.
- The proposal will not negatively impact the significance of the heritage area and sense of place of the village.

DECISION

The committee resolved to approve the specifications and building plans.

WD

12.2 Proposed Remedial Work for Wupperthal Village, No.2 Tra Tra Street, Wupperthal: Section 13

HM/ WEST COAST/ CEDERBERG/ WUPPERTHAL/ FARM 168

CaseNo: 20071702WD0720E

LM recused herself.

WD introduced the item and noted the overall process of rebuilding after Wupperthal undertaken by Cifa was approved by IACom and it is the first sets of plans for rebuilding that was put forward at HOMs by Cifa for approval. The HOMs committee were asked to look at the applications (1-5) and to approve(1) the specification in terms of methodology and types of materiality to be used for the rebuilding and (2) approval of the building plans for buildings 1-5 in Tra Tra Street, Wupperthal.

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The Homs agreed the specifications as presented by Cifa were thorough and appropriate in that the building still retain much of their physical presence with the proposed remedial work.
- The proposal will not negatively impact the significance of the heritage area and sense of place of the village.

DECISION

The committee resolved to approve the specifications and building plans.

WD

12.3 Proposed Remedial Work for Wupperthal Village, No.3 Tra Tra Street, Wupperthal: Stection 34
HM/ WEST COAST/ CEDERBERG/ WUPPERTHAL/ FARM 168

Case No: 20071703WD0720E

LM recused herself.

WD introduced the item and noted the overall process of rebuildin after Wupperthal undertaken by Cifa was approved by IACom and it is the first sets of plans for rebuilding that was put forward at HOMs by Cifa for approval. The HOMs committee were asked to look at the applications (1-5) and to approve(1) the specification in terms of methodology and types of materiality to be used for the rebuilding and (2) approval of the building plans for buildins 1-5 in Tra Tra Street, Wupperthal.

DECISION

The committee resolved to approve the specifications and building plans.

WD

12.4 Proposed Remedial Work for Wupperthal Village, No.4 Tra Tra Street, Wupperthal: Stection 34
HM/ WEST COAST/ CEDERBERG/ WUPPERTHAL/ FARM 168

CaseNo: 20071704WD0721E

LM recused herself.

WD introduced the item and noted the overall process of rebuildin after Wupperthal undertaken by Cifa was approved by IACom and it is the first sets of plans for rebuilding that was put forward at HOMs by Cifa for approval. The HOMs committee were asked to look at the applications (1-5) and to approve(1) the specification in terms of methodology and types of materiality to be used for the rebuilding and (2) approval of the building plans for buildins 1-5 in Tra Tra Street, Wupperthal.

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The Homs agreed the specifications as presented by Clfa were thorough and appropriate in that the building still retain much of their physical presence with the proposed remedial work.
- The proposal will not negatively impact the significance of the heritage area and sense of place of the village.

DECISION

The committee resolved to approve the specifications and building plans.

WD

- 12.5** Proposed Remedial Work for Wupperthal Village, No.5 Tra Tra Street, Wupperthal: Section 31
HM/ WEST COAST/ CEDERBERG/ WUPPERTHAL/ FARM 168

Case No: 20071705WD0721E

LM recused herself.

WD introduced the item and noted the overall process of rebuilding after Wupperthal undertaken by Cifa was approved by IACom and it is the first sets of plans for rebuilding that was put forward at HOMs by Cifa for approval. The HOMs committee were asked to look at the applications (1-5) and to approve (1) the specification in terms of methodology and types of materiality to be used for the rebuilding and (2) approval of the building plans for buildings 1-5 in Tra Tra Street, Wupperthal.

DECISION

The committee resolved to approve the specifications and building plans.

WD

- 12.6** Proposed Partial Demolition, Restoration, Alterations and Additions, Erf 192, REM Erf 193 and REM Erf 195, Swartberg Hotel, Church Street, Prince Albert Phase 1: Section 27
HM/PRINCE ALBERT/ERF 192, REM, ERF 193 AND REM ERF 195

Case No: 20071404KB0717E

KB introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The Prince Albert Municipality and the Prince Albert Cultural Foundation supports the proposal but raised a concern about the raising of the parapet.
- HOMs noted the site is a PHS and noted concern regarding the illegal work undertaken at the PHS

Further requirements

HOMS requires a heritage assessment report that details the illegal work undertaken and provides an assessment of the impact to the heritage resource and suggests mitigation where necessary. Such a heritage assessment must be undertaken by a suitably qualified heritage practitioner.

KB

- 12.7** Proposed Partial Demolition, Restoration, Alterations and Additions, Erf 192, REM Erf 193 and REM Erf 195, Swartberg Hotel, Church Street, Prince Albert Phase 1: Section 27

HM/PRINCE ALBERT/ERF 192, REM, ERF 193 AND REM ERF 195

Case No: 20071407KB0717E

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The Prince Albert Municipality and the Prince Albert Cultural Foundation supports the proposal but raised a concern about the raising of the parapet.
- HOMs noted the site is a PHS and noted concern regarding the illegal work undertaken at the PHS

Further requirements

HOMS requires a heritage assessment report that details the illegal work undertaken and provides an assessment of the impact to the heritage resource and suggests mitigation where necessary. Such a heritage assessment must be undertaken by a suitably qualified heritage practitioner.

KB

12.8 Proposed Alterations and Additions, Erf 450, 74A Waterkant Street, De Waterkant: Section 34

HM/ CAPE TOWN METROPOLITAN/ DE WATERKANT/ERF 450

Case No: 20071511KB0717E

KB introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The site is graded IIIB and situated inside an HPOZ
- The CoCT supports the proposal
- The DE Waterkant Civic Association supports the proposal but cautioned about preserving the structural integrity of the building and its surrounding buildings before work commences.
- HOMs noted illegal work undertaken on site

DECISION

The committee resolved to issue a S51 letter. HWC cannot condone illegal work.

KB

12.9 Proposed Alterations and Additions, Erf 7958, 7 Donaldson Street, Wellington: Section 34

HM/CAPE WINELANDS/ DRAKENSTEIN/WELLINGTON/ERF 7958

Case No: 20063009KB0720E

KB introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The property is graded NCW a building
- The site is located inside a HPOz
- Drakenstein Heritage Foundation supports the propodsl.
- Drakenstein Municipality refered the application to HWC without a comment from the heritage section of the municipality.

DECISION

The committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal will not impact a heritage resource.

KB

12.10 Proposed Alterations and Additions, Erf 3480, 35 Bosman Street, Stellenbosch: Section 34
HM/CAPE WINELANDS/ STELLENBOSCH/ERF 3480

Case No: 20071408ND0716E

ND introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The application is incomplete.

COMMENT

Comments from the Stellenbosch Interest Group and Stellenbosch Municipality required as well as building and all photographs.

ND

12.11 Proposed Alterations and Additions, Erf 7669, 20 Main Road, Somerset West: Section 34
HM/ CAPE TOWN METROPOLITAN/ SOMERSET WEST/ERF 7669

Case No: 20071401ND0716E

ND introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The building is graded IIIC, inside an HPOZ
- The CoCT objected to the proposal.

COMMENT

Matter refered to the next HOMS meeting 3 August 2020 as the information not available to the committee to review.

ND

12.12 Proposed Alterations and Additions, Erf 11250, 64 2nd Crescent, Fish Hoek:
Section 34
HM/ CAPE TOWN METROPOLITAN/ FISH HOEK/ERF 11250

Case No: 2000510ND0720E

ND introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The building is graded a NCW building
- The site is located outside HPOz
- CoCT supports the proposal.
- FHVRRA objects to the proposed replacement building.
- HOMS is of the opinion the building is not a rare example of its type nor does it contribute to its immediate contexts in respect of heritage.

DECISION

The committee resolved to support the application as the proposal will impact on a heritage resource.

ND

12.13 Proposed Alterations and Additions, Erf 30388, 10 Kew Road, Mowbray:
Section 34
HM/ CAPE TOWN METROPOLITAN/ MOWBRAY/ERF 30388

Case No: 20062409ND0716E

ND introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The application is incomplete.

COMMENT

RAMPAC, CoCT and comments and stamped plans required.

ND

12.14 Proposed Development, Ptn 13 of Farm 642, Miaspoort BK, Keerweder Road,
Paarl: Section 38(8)
HM/CAPE WINELANDS/ DRAKENSTEIN/ PAARL/ FARM 13/642

Case No: 20071412ND0720E

ND introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- HOMS was of the opinion there is no reason to believe the proposed new dwelling would impact on heritage resources.

DECISION

No further studies are required in terms of Section 38 of the NHRA.

ND

- 12.15** Proposed Development of New Atlantis Sand Sales Drying Plant, Erven 306 & 307, Atlantis: Section 38(8)
HM/ CAPE TOWN METROPOLITAN/ ATLANTIS / ERVEN 306 & 307

Case No: 20070906SB0720E

SB introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- In previous studies undertaken in Atlantis Industria, very little to no archaeological resources have been identified.
- Unmarked Khoisan human remains were highly unlikely.
- Palaeontological resources unlikely to be impacted.
- Consultant recommend no further studies.
- HOMS is of the opinion there is no reason to believe that the proposed development of New Atlantis Sand Sales Drying Plant will impact negatively on heritage resources.

DECISION

No further studies are required in terms of Section 38 of the NHRA

SB

- 12.16** Proposed Expansion of existing Cemetery, Erf 566 and Erf 141/480, Melkhoutfontein, Still Bay: Section 38(8)
HM/HESSEQUA/STILL BAY/ERF 566 AND ERF 141/480

Case No: 20061006SB0720E

SB introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- Impact on archaeological resources likely to be very low.
- Low palaeontological sensitivity.
- Consultant recommend no further studies.
- It was noted that there were existing structures on site, any alternation, addition or demolition of structure older than 60 years, will require a separated section 34 application that must be submitted to HWC for approve.
- HOMS is of the opinion there is no reason to believe that the proposed expansion of an existing cemetery will impact negatively on heritage resources.

DECISION

No further studies are required in terms of Section 38 of the NHRA

SB

- 12.17** Proposed Development, Farm 1221,
Farm Watervliet A, Paarl: Section 38(8)
HM/CAPE WINELANDS/ DRAKENSTIN/ PAARL/FARM WATERVLIET/FARM 1221

Case No: 20070207SB0720E

SB introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The proposed development will be situated adjacent to the existing residential area of Pearl Valley Golf Estate.
- The proposed development will be located between the Berg River and Pearl Valley Golf Estate, approximately 1,5 km east of the R45 in Southern Paarl
- Consultant recommend no further studies.
- HOMs committee had visual concerns.
- Archaeological concerns due to proximity of the site to the Berg River.
- There was lack of images of the existing structures on site, the committee could not determine structures heritage significance.
- Since there is a reason to believe that the proposal residential development will impact negatively on heritage resources.

DECISION

HOMs requires an HIA consisting of a visual impact assessment and potential impacts to the existing built environment (images of the structures to be included); with the inclusion of an archaeological foot survey to be conducted.

SB

- 12.18** Proposed Development, Erven 212, 213, 214, 223, 224, 255 and 256,
Beyers and Bell Street, Klapmuts: Section 36(3)
HM/ CAPE TOWN METROPOLITAN/ KLAPMUTS/ERVEN/212, 213, 214, 223, 224, 255 AND 256

Case No: 20051201SB0720E

SB introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The permit application was in respect of a section 36 burials application in terms of the NHRA to dis-inter human remains

- A previous permit was issued by SAHRA in 2011 for an adjacent portion of the site. Since the HWC has since been delegated functions in terms of section 36 by SAHRA, the application is now being referred to HWC.
- The case officer recommended referring the case to the APM committee for further scrutiny due to the sensitivity of burials applications, and to provide assistance in noting appropriate conditions should a permit be granted.

COMMENT

Matter referred to APM meeting on 5.8.2020

SB

12.19 Proposed extension of Walvis Street, Mossel Bay: Section 38(8) HM/EDEN/ MOSSEL BAY/WALVIS STREET

Case No: 20061007SB0720E

SB introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- No archaeological resource was recorded during a site assessment undertaken on the 3 July 2020 nor were any surface grave identified.
- Palaeontological sensitivity of the site is low.
- HOMs had concerns around the demolition of the dry packed retaining stone wall, however it was established that the wall has no heritage value.
- Consultant recommend no further studies.
- HOMs is of the opinion there is no reason to believe that the proposed Walvis Street road upgrade, will impact negatively on heritage resources.

DECISION

No further studies are required in terms of Section 38 of the NHRA

SB

12.20 Proposed Total Demolition, Erf 295, 1 Avenue Normandie, Fresnaye: Section 34 HM/ CAPE TOWN METROPOLITAN/ FRESNAYE/ERF 295

Case No: 20071410SM0717E

SM introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The application was incomplete application.

COMMENTS

SFB comments and contextual photographs required.

SM

12.21 Proposed Alterations and Additions, Erven 872, 1 Hill Street, Strand: Section 34

HM/ CAPE TOWN METROPOLITAN/ STRAND/ERVEN 872

Case No: 19111202SM0717E

SM introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The building is graded a NCW building
- The site is located outside HPOz
- CoCT supports the proposal.
- HRF supports the proposal but notes a better treatment requirement for the gutters is preferable.

DECISION

The committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal will not impact a heritage resource.

SM

12.22 Proposed Alterations and Additions, Erf 47027, 6 Glade Road, Rondebosch: Section 34

HM/ CAPE TOWN METROPOLITAN/ RONDEBOSCH/ERF 47027

Case No: 20071604SM0717E

SM introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The building is graded IIB, inside an HPOZ
- CoCT supports the proposal with a comment that an architect with appropriate heritage expertise provides input into the interior detailing and submits a close out report on the work.
- The photographs provided were not sufficient for the purposes of reviewing the application.

FURTHER REQUIREMENT

Additional photographs of the context and present street boundary condition required.

SM

12.23 Proposed Alterations and Additions, Erf Erven 87117-Re and 87116, 147 Main Road, Muizenberg: Section 34

Case No: 20070910SM0717E

SM introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The site is graded a III C building,
- The site is located within the HPOz,
- CoCt support the proposal but notes the work has started,
- MHCS supports the proposal,
- HWC does not condone illegal work.

DECISION

The committee resolved to issue a S51 letter.

SM

12.24 Proposed Development, Erf 476, Parel Vallei, Somerset West: Section 38(8)
HM/SOMERSET WEST/ERF 476

Case No: 20071310TZ0720E

TZ introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- Homs is of the opinion there is no reason to believe that the proposed subdivision, will impact negatively on heritage resources.

DECISION

No further studies are required in terms of Section 38 of the NHRA

TZ

12.25 Proposed Alterations and Additions, Erf 1852, 22 Bellevue Street, Gardens:
Section 34
HM/ CAPE TOWN METROPOLITAN/ GARDENS/ERF 1852

Case No: 20070801TZ0720E

TZ introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The application is incomplete.

COMMENT

City of Cape Town and City Bowl Ratepayers Association comments and better internal and external photographs required.

TZ

12.26 Proposed Alterations and Additions, Erf 54715, 18 Highwick Avenue, Claremont: Section 34

HM/ CAPE TOWN METROPOLITAN/ CLAREMONT/ERF 54715

Case No: 20070204TZ0720E

TZ introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The building is graded IIIB,
- The site is located within the HPO
- City of Cape Town supports the proposal.
- The Upper Claremont Residents Association did not indicate support or objection for the proposed work other than to note their comment would be provided on condition of a legal agreement with the neighbour in respect of the proposed work.
- This is noted as a concern as conservation bodies cannot impose such condition on applicants.
- A conservation body legally has 30 days within which to comment and cannot make such comment conditional on an action imposed on an applicant such as entering into an agreement with other parties.
- It was agreed the chairperson of the Homs meeting (CS) would, with assistance from the legal adviser (PM), raise the matter in writing with the conservation body.
- HOMs was of the opinion that the proposed changes would negatively impact the heritage significance of the building and take it from a IIIB to a IIIC.

DECISION

The matter referred to BELCom 13.8.2020

TZ

12.27 Proposed Filling Station, Farm 786/14, Weltevreden Wedge, Philippi: Section 38(8)

HM/ CAPE TOWN METROPOLITAN/ PHILIPPI/FARM 786/14

Case No: 19022708AS0305E

TZ introduced the item

DISCUSSION

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- HOMS does not support the proposal as HWC has previously identified the PHA as an important heritage resource.
- The current proposal is one of many that will incrementally change the character of the agricultural landscape.

DECISION

Matter has been referred to IACom 12.8.2020

TZ

14 OTHER MATTERS

14.1 None

15. ADOPTION OF RESOLUTIONS AND DECISIONS

The Committee adopted the resolutions and decisions.

16 CLOSURE

The meeting adjourned at: 12:50

17 DATE OF NEXT MEETING: 31 July 2020